

TELANGANA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

Samachara Hakku Bhavan, D.No.5-4-399, '4' Storied Commercial Complex,
Housing Board Building, Mojam Jahi Market, Hyderabad – 500 001.

Phone Nos: 040-24740665 (o); 040-24740592(f)

Appeal No.6384, 6385, 6390, 6397, 6434, 6444, 6448, 6489, 6494, 6495/SIC-KSR/2020

Dated: 27-07-2021

- Appellant : Sri G. Vijay Kumar, Ranga Reddy District.
- Respondents :
- 1.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Sadasivpet Municipality,
Sadasivpet Mandal, Sangareddy District
 - 2.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Tellapur Municipality,
Tellapur Mandal, Sangareddy District.
 - 3.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Bollaram Municipality,
Bollaram Mandal, Sangareddy District
 - 4.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Ramayampet Municipality,
Ramayampet Mandal, Medak District
 - 5.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Siddipet Municipality,
Siddipet Mandal, Siddipet District
 - 6.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Waddepalle Municipality,
Waddepalle Mandal, Jogulamba Gadwal District.
 - 7.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Zaheerabad Municipal
Corporation, Zaheerabad, Sangareddy District
 - 8.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Narayankhed Municipality,
Narayankhed, Sangareddy District.
 - 9.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Makthal Municipality,
Makthal, Mahabubnagar District.
 - 10.The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner,
Thoopran Municipality, Thoopran, Medak District
 - 1.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Sadasivpet Municipality,
Sadasivpet Mandal, Sangareddy District.
 - 2.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Tellapur Municipality,
Tellapur Mandal, Sangareddy District.
 - 3.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Bollaram Municipality,
Bollaram Mandal, Sangareddy District.
 - 4.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Ramayampet Municipality,
Ramayampet Mandal, Medak District.

5.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Siddipet Municipality,
Siddipet Mandal, Siddipet District.

6.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Waddepalle Municipality,
Waddepalle Mandal, Jogulamba Gadwal District.

7.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Zaheerabad Municipal
Corporation, Zaheerabad, Sangareddy District.

8.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Narayankhed Municipality,
Narayankhed, Sangareddy District.

9.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /
O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Makthal Municipality,
Makthal, Mahabubnagar District.

10.The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /
O/o the Municipal Commissioner,
Thoopran Municipality, Thoopran, Medak District.

ORDER

Sri G. Vijay Kumar, Ranga Reddy District has filed 2nd appeal dated 07-08-2020 which was received by this Commission on 07-08-2020 for not getting the information sought by him from the **PIO-1** / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Sadasivpet Municipality, Sadasivpet Mandal, Sangareddy District **Public Information Officer-2** / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Tellapur Municipality, Tellapur Mandal, Sangareddy District. **Public Information Officer-3** / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Bollaram Municipality, Bollaram Mandal, Sangareddy District **Public Information Officer-4** / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Ramayampet Municipality, Ramayampet Mandal, Medak District. **Public Information Officer-5**/O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Siddipet Municipality, Siddipet Mandal, Siddipet District. **Public Information Officer-6** / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Waddepalle Municipality, Waddepalle Mandal, Jogulamba Gadwal District. **Public Information Officer-7**/ O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Zaheerabad Municipal Corporation, Zaheerabad, Sangareddy District. **Public Information Officer-8**/ O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Narayankhed Municipality, Narayankhed, Sangareddy District. **Public Information Officer-9**/ O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Makthal Municipality, Makthal, Mahabubnagar District. **Public Information Officer-10**/O/o the Municipal Commissioner,Thoopran Municipality, Thoopran, Medak District and **1st Appellate Authorities** / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Sadasivpet Municipality, Tellapur Municipality, Bollaram, Municipality, Narayankhed Municipality of Sangareddy District. **1st Appellate Authorities** / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Ramayampet Municipality, Thoopran Municipality, Medak District. **1st Appellate Authority-4** / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Siddipet Municipality, Siddipet Mandal, Siddipet District. **1st Appellate Authority-5** / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Waddepalle Municipality, Gadwal District. **1st Appellate Authority -6** /O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Makthal Municipality, Makthal, Mahabubnagar District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an applications dated **13-03-2020** before the PIOs under Sec.6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, requesting to furnish the information on the following points mentioned in his application:

- Sub: మీ పురపాలక సంఘం పరిధిలో దంపి యాక్ట్ మరియు ఈ క్రింద కోరిన ఇతర విషయములకు సంబంధించిన సమాచారం, సమాచార హక్కు చట్టం - 2005 ద్వారా పొందడం గురించి.
1. మీ పురపాలక సంఘం పరిధిలోని ప్రభుత్వ భూమి వివరాలు, సర్వే సంఖ్య, విస్తీర్ణం, వివరాలు వివరాలు అవ్వాలి.
 2. పురపాలక సంఘానికి సంబంధించి దామిన్స్ వెటిన్యూరీ టీఎస్ ప్రైవేటును కానీ, ఆరాయం కోసం కానీ, ప్రభుత్వ అవసరాలకోసం కానీ, లేదా ఇతర అవసరాల కోసం కానీ ఇన్వెస్ట్ కేటాయింపులను జరిగితే ఆ పురపాలక సంఘం అవ్వాలి. ఈ దామిన్స్ ద్వారా వెటిన్యూరీ ఆరాయం సమయానికి, వారి వివరాలు, వారి ఉద్యోగ వివరాలు కూడా అవ్వాలి.
 3. పురపాలక సంఘం పరిధిలో దంపి యాక్ట్ నిర్మాణాల రేయాలంటే ప్రభుత్వం ఉన్న మార్గదర్శకాలు ఏమిటి? సాంకేతిక ప్రక్రియ, నిర్మాణ నిర్మాణ చట్టాల సాంకేతిక, నిధులకు సంబంధించిన పురపాలక సంఘం అవ్వాలి.
 4. పురపాలక సంఘం పరిధిలో ఇన్వెస్ట్ దంపి యాక్ట్ నిర్మాణాల రేయడం జరిగితే ఏవి మార్గదర్శకాల ప్రకారం ప్రభుత్వ ప్రకారాన్ని / పురపాలక సంఘం సాంకేతిక రేయాల, పరిసర ప్రాంత ప్రజల నుండి అభిప్రాయపడడం జరిగితే? వివరాలు అవ్వాలి.
 5. పురపాలక సంఘం పరిధిలో సూర్యనాగా దంపి యాక్ట్ నిర్మాణాల రేయాలనుండి దాని సాంకేతిక సంబంధించి పురపాలక సంఘం అనుబంధించిన నిర్మాణాన్ని, దానికి సంబంధించిన సమాచారాల వివరాలు, వారి మినార్స్, మరియు రేపా నిర్మాణము ప్రక్రియలను గురించి సమాచారం అవ్వాలి.

6. ద్వారా యాక్స్ విధానాలు వలన సమీప ప్రాంత ప్రజలు ఎదుర్కొనే సమస్యలకు, సేవనీ పొందటం విచారణకు, వారు యాక్స్ విధానాల పట్టుకులకు సంబంధించి మీ ద్వారా ఉన్న శాస్త్రీయ పరిష్కార మార్గాలు ఏమిటి? మీ అధ్యయన వివరాలు ఇవ్వగలరు.
7. ద్వారా యాక్స్ లో చనిపోయే కార్యకుల కోసం తీసుకునే ప్రత్యేక జాగ్రత్తల వివరాలు ఇవ్వగలరు.
8. ద్వారా యాక్స్ నుండి విద్యుత్ ఉత్పత్తి, పరిశ్రమలకు లాభి వాటి తయారీకి తీసుకుంటున్న చర్యలు, విద్యుత్ నీటి నుండి వచ్చే అదనపు వివరాలు ఇవ్వగలరు.

NOTE : సమాచారము, సమాచారపాత్యుత్పత్తి -2005 చట్టం 6(1) అనుసరించి తెలుగుభాషలో ప్రసించిన 2 (బి) (ii) చట్టం ప్రకారం ద్వారా ద్వారా 4 క్రింది ముయిర్స్ కు సాక్షి కావని ద్వారా ద్వారా మార్గము పంపగలరు.

The Public Information Officers has not furnished the information to the appellant.

Since the appellant did not receive the information from the Public Information Officers, he filed 1st appeal dated **11-06-2020** before the 1st Appellate Authorities u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 requesting him to furnish the information sought by him.

The 1st Appellate Authorities has not furnished the information to the Appellant.

As the appellant did not get the information from the Public Information Officers / 1st Appellate Authorities even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 requesting to take action against the PIOs and 1st Appellate Authorities for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him.

The Appeal was taken on file and the cases are posted for hearing on 27-07-2021.

The case was called on 27-07-2021. The Appellant is absent. The Public Information Officer-1/ Sri A. Venkatesham Senior Assistant, Sadashiva Municipality is present. The First Appellate Authority is absent.

The Public Information Officer-2/Sri B.Yadagiri Reddy, Manager, Tellapur Municipality is present. The First Appellate Authority / Sri S. Venkatamanikaran, Commissioner is present.

The Public Information Officer-3/ Sri B. Sridhar, Revenue Officer, Bollaram Municipality is present. The First Appellate Authority / Sri G. Rajendra Kumar, Commissioner is present.

The Public Information Officer-4/ Sri M. Srinivas, Manager, Ramayampet Municipality is present. The First Appellate Authority / Sri G. Srinivas, Commissioner is present.

The Public Information Officer-5/ Sri A. Raghu Varan, Manager, Siddipet Municipality is present. The First Appellate Authority is absent.

The Public Information Officer-6/ Sri Khja Arifuddin, Manager, Waddapalle Municipality is present. The First Appellate Authority is absent.

The Public Information Officer-7/Sri A. Naresh Kumar, Manager, Zaheerabad Municipal Corporation is present. The First Appellate Authority is absent.

The Public Information Officer-8/ Sri B. Gangadhar, Manager, Narayankhed Municipality is present. The First Appellate Authority / Sri G. Mallareddy, Commissioner is present.

The Public Information Officer-9/ Sri Gulam Khedar Khan, Manager, Makthal Municipality is present. The First Appellate Authority is absent.

The Public Information Officer is absent. The First Appellate Authority-10/Sri T.Mohan, Commissioner is present.

The Public Information Officer-1 submitted that vide letter No.RTI/11/2020-21 dated 27-01-2021, sought information was furnished to the appellant through E-mail.

The Public Information Officer-2 submitted that vide letter No.SE/2765/2020 dated 23-07-2020, sought information was furnished to the appellant through E-mail. He also submitted that once again sought information was furnished to the appellant on 23-07-2021.

The Public Information Officer-3 submitted that he has joined recently and sought information was not furnished to the appellant. He requested the Commission to grant one week time for furnishing the sought information to appellant.

The Public Information Officer-4 submitted that he has joined recently and he came to know about this RTI application after receiving TSIC notice from the Commission. He requested the Commission to grant three days time for furnishing the sought information to appellant.

The Public Information Officer-5 submitted that vide letter No.A1/RTI/2898/2021 dated 26-03-2021, sought information was furnished to the appellant, but there is no proof of acknowledgment.

The Public Information Officer-6 submitted that vide letter No.RTI/115/2020 dated 22-07-2021, sought information was furnished to the appellant.

The Public Information Officer-7 submitted that vide letter No.RTI/15/2021 dated 22-07-2021, sought information was furnished to the appellant through RPAD.

The Public Information Officer-8 submitted that the sought information was furnished to the appellant on 22-07-2021 through RPAD.

The Public Information Officer-9 submitted that he has joined recently and sought information was not furnished to the appellant. He also submitted that he has brought the information and furnished in presence of the Commission.

The First Appellate Authority-10 submitted that vide letter No. G1/47/2021 dated 23-07-2021, sought information was furnished to the appellant through RPAD.

The Public Information Officers submitted that information sought in respect of these RTI applications was very large and voluminous and it tantamount to diverting the meager resources of the Public Information Officer thereby impacting the normal functioning of its activities.

In this context, the Commission also referred to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Central Board of Secondary Education and Anr. Vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay and Ors, SLP(C) NO. 7526/2009 wherein it was held as under:

“Indiscriminate and impractical demands or directions under RTI Act for disclosure of all and sundry information (unrelated to transparency and accountability in the functioning of public authorities and eradication of corruption) would be counter-productive as it will adversely affect the efficiency of the administration and result in the executive getting bogged down with the non-productive work of collecting and furnishing information. The Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to obstruct the national development and integration, or to destroy the peace, tranquility and harmony among its citizens. Nor should it be converted into a tool of oppression or intimidation of honest officials striving to do their duty. The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of public authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular duties. The threat of penalties under the RTI Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of a public authorities prioritizing 'information furnishing', at the cost of their normal and regular duties.”

The Commission observed that the appellant has no right to repeat the same or similar information request under the RTI Act, 2005. And also the multiple, formatted applications and demanding voluminous information will not be entertained hereafter. The Commission advised the appellant to seek specific information in particular matters of public interest so that the same could be identified and furnished

Keeping in view the facts of the case and submissions made by the Public Information Officers, the Commission directed the Public Information Officers to furnish the sought information to the appellant, within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order and to report compliance to the Commission and warned the Public Information Officers for not responding to the RTI applications within stipulated time.

With the above direction, these appeals are closed.

Katta Shekar Reddy
State Information Commissioner

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Coy to: - IT Section/SF

::2::

TSLIC