

TELANGANA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

Samachara Hakku Bhavan, D.No.5-4-399, '4' Storied Commercial Complex,
Housing Board Building, Mojam Jahi Market, Hyderabad – 500 001.

Phone Nos: 040-24740667 (o); 040-24740592(f)

Appeal No.13417/SIC-KSR/2022

Dated: 02-01-2023

Appellant : Ms. B. Saramma, H No. 10-3-237, Lingojjiguda,
Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy Dist-500035.

Respondents : **The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
O/o. the Sub Registrar, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy Dist-500035,

The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /
O/o. the Commissioner and Inspector General of Registration and
Stamps Department, Nizamshahi Road, Osmangunj,
M.J. Market, Hyderabad-001.

ORDER

Ms. B. Saramma has filed 2nd appeal dated 10-09-2022 which was received by this Commission on 13-09-2022 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o. the Sub Registrar, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy Dist-500035 and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o. the Commissioner and Inspector General of Registration and Stamps Department, Nizamshahi Road, Osmangunj, M.J. Market, Hyderabad-001.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **13-06-2022** before the PIO under Sec.6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, requesting to furnish the information on the following points mentioned in his application:

1. Copy of statutory provision followed by Sub-Registrar, in attesting Petition dated 17-8-2017 of Hariram Sharma S/o Rampal Sharma, (**copy is enclosed herewith**) on **17-5-2022**, which was filed in Hon'ble court of X AJCJ-cum-XXX A.M.M, RR District at L.B nagar on 8-6-2022.
2. Statement containing the details of official records maintained by Sub-Registrar Office in accordance with Indian Registration Act, Circulars of Hon'ble Commissioner & Inspector General, Registration and Stamps Department, Government and any other law time being in force.
3. Copy of statutory provision followed by S.R.O Saroornagar in attesting illegible and defaced document on 17-5-2022 (**copy is enclosed herewith**) which was filed in Hon'ble court of X AJCJ-cum-XXX A.M.M, RR District at L.B nagar on 8-6-2022.
4. Statement containing the reasons for not providing the original copy of illegible and defaced document mentioned at Sl.No.3 & 1 above for filing the same in Hon'ble above said court.

The Public Information Officer has not furnished the information to the appellant.

Since the appellant did not receive the information from the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **05-08-2022** before the 1st Appellate Authority u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 requesting him to furnish the information sought by him.

The 1st Appellate Authority failed to hear the case and pass appropriate orders on the 1st appeal filed before him.

As the appellant did not get the information from the Public Information Officer / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission U/s. 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him.

P.T.O

::2::

Basing on the material papers available on record, the appeal was taken on file and notices were sent to the parties concerned directing them to appear before this Commission for hearing on 02-01-2023.

The case is called on 02-01-2023. The Appellant is absent. The Public Information Officer/ M.Jahangir, Sub-Registrar is present. The First Appellate Authority is absent.

The representative of the Public Information Officer submitted that vide letter No.121/22 dated 15-06-2022, the appellant was asked to visit their office to inspect the records and collect the required information but the appellant did not turn up.

On perusal of material papers available on record, the Commission found that there is no proof of service of above said letter dated 15-06-2022 to the appellant.

In view of the above, the Commission directed the Public Information Officer to once again invite the appellant for personal inspection of records on mutually convenient date to enable him to obtain the permissible information as sought in his 6(1) application, by giving an advance intimation to the appellant in writing for inspection of the record and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

Katta Shekar Reddy
State Information Commissioner

Authenticated by:

PS to SIC
Copy to: - IT Section/SF

T S I C