

# TELANGANA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

Samachara Hakku Bhavan, D.No.5-4-399, '4' Storied Commercial Complex,  
Housing Board Building, Mojam Jahi Market, Hyderabad – 500 001.  
Phone Nos: 040-24740107 (o); 040-24740592(f)

**Complaint No.4334/SIC-Dr.MA/2018**

**Dated: 26-04-2022**

Complainant : Sri Vyasbattu Laxman Raju, R/o. Karimnagar

Respondents : **1. The Public Information Officer ( RTI Act,2005) /**  
O/o. the District Collector, Karimnagar 505 001

**2. The Public Information Officer ( RTI Act,2005) /**  
O/o. the Special Deputy Collector, L.A., Unit-VII., FFC / MMR &  
Dr. BRA PCSSP, Rajanna Siricilla

## **ORDER**

Sri Vyasbattu Laxman Raju, R/o Karimnagar District has filed a Complaint dated 12-03-2018 which was received by this Commission on 12-03-2018 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o. the District Collector, Karimnagar District 505 001.

The brief facts of the case as per the Complaint and other records received along with it are that the Complainant herein filed an application dated 31-01-2018 under Sec.6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, before the PIO seeking following information:

పై విషయమును పురస్కరించుకొని తమలతో మనవి చేయునది చేయునది ఏమనగా వ్యాసబట్టు లక్ష్మన్ రాజు తండ్రి నల్లింపామూర్తి, నివాసము : గ్రా|| కొదురుపాక, మం|| బోయినపల్లి, రాజన్న సిరిసిల్లా జిల్లా నివాసిని. నాకు కొదురుపాక గ్రామ శివారులోని ఇం.నెం. 2-48, గల ఆర్.సి.సి. ఇల్లు కలదు. ఇట్టి ఇల్లు మిడ్ మానేరు లో ముంపునకు గురైనది. ఇట్టి ఇంటికి తక్కువగా నష్టపరిహారం వచ్చినందున నేను నా యొక్క ఇంటిని దీ సర్వే చేయాలని, నేను జిల్లా కలెక్టర్ కరీంనగర్ గారికి నాలుగు సార్లు, జిల్లా కలెక్టర్ సిరిసిల్లాగారికి రెండు సార్లు, సైబర్ కలెక్టర్ ఎల్.ఎం.డి. కాలనీ గారికి మరయు రాష్ట్ర కమిషనర్ తార్లక గారికి నాలుగు సార్లు దరఖాస్తులు ఇచ్చినాను. కాని ఏలాంటి స్వాయం చేయలేదు. నేను నా ఇంటి పై కోర్టుకు వెళ్లకుండా కాన్సెంట్ అవార్డుపై కోర్టుకు వెళ్లకుండా ఆదేశాలు ఇవ్వకుండా నోటిసు ఇవ్వకుండా నాకు అస్వాయం చేసినారు. నేను 2008 నుండి 2013 డిసెంబర్ వరకు ఏ నోటిసు ఇవ్వకుండా నాకు అస్వాయం చేసినారు. దీనితో నాకు రావలసిన నష్టపరిహారం ఇంత వరకు నాకు రాలేదు. నేను అధికారుల చుట్టు తిరిగినా నాకు ఏలాంటి స్వాయం జరుగుతు లేదు.

The PIO has not furnished the sought information to the complainant.

The Public Information Officer through Memo No. 6601 / LA.R&R / A2 / 2019 dated 30-09-2019 transferred the application U/s 6(3) to the Public Information Officer / O/o. the District Collector, Karimnagar District for furnishing the sought information.

As the complainant did not receive the sought information from the PIO even after 30 days of filing his application, he preferred this Complaint before the Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him.

The Complaint was taken on file and notices were issued to both the parties for hearing on 12-12-2019.

**On 12-12-2019 the case was called** and adjourned with directions to issue notice to the Public Information Officer, O/o the Land Acquisition Officer, Unit VII, O/o the RDO, Siricilla on the next date of hearing. .

**On 14-12-2020 the case was called again** and adjourned with a direction to the PIO to furnish factual status of matter for payment of revised estimated compensation as deserved by Complainant as per rules within (10) days and submit compliance along with acknowledgement of the Complainant.

**On 12-07-2021 the case is called again.** The Complainant is present. The PIO-I / O/o. the Special Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition, Unit-VII, FFC / MMR & Dr.BR.Ambedkar, PCSSP, Rajanna Siricilla District is absent without assigning the reasons and deputed Smt. K.Sangeetha, Junior Assistant to present the case. The PIO-II / O/o. the Revenue Divisional Officer, Siricilla Division, Rajanna Siricilla District is absent.

The representative of the PIO submitted the old report that the information was furnished on 26-03-2020 and further the Complainant is not signing the consent letter to pay the compensation.

The Complainant alleged that the Special Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition, Unit-VII, FFC / MMR & Dr.BR.Ambedkar, PCSSP, Rajanna Siricilla District is not paying the revised compensation of Rs. 21 lakhs, inspite of signing the acquaintance rolls and directions of the Hon'ble Commission.

The representative of the PIO could not explain the matter properly. The PIO has sent Junior Assistant (2) times to attend hearing before the Commission which is highly objectionable to the Commission.

Hence, the Commission directs the PIO / Special Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition, Unit-VII, FFC / MMR & Dr.BR.Ambedkar, PCSSP, Rajanna Siricilla District to appear in person with connected records to submit factual report to the Commission.

**Issue Show Cause Notice to the PIO / Special Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition, Unit-VII, FFC / MMR & Dr.BR.Ambedkar, PCSSP, Rajanna Siricilla District** for failing to furnish information, failing to implement the orders of the Commission and for not attending the hearing twice before the Commission.

With the above direction, the Appeal was **adjourned to 26-04-2022**.

**On 26-04-2022** the case is called. The Complainant is present. The Public Information Officer, O/o the SDC, LA, Unit-VII, Rajanna Sircilla District is present.

The case was earlier heard and adjourned on **12-07-2021** with a direction to Public Information Officer to appear in person with connected records and submit factual report.

The Complainant submitted that he did not receive the revised estimation of compensation as per GOMs.No.66.

The representative of the Public Information Officer produced the connected records to the Commission. As per record the Complainant has signed the notice of acquaintance accepting the amount of compensation already fixed. The representative of the Public Information Officer further submitted that as the Complainant has already committed by signing the notice issued in 2013, the award was passed accordingly in 2013 itself. That the Complainant did not give his bank account details and as such the amount could not be paid to him so far. He further submitted that the Complainant should have taken the amount of compensation in 2013 and filed appeal before the CCLA who is the Appellate Authority and who could have passed orders for enhancement of compensation. In case the Complainant did not satisfy by the orders of the Appellate Authority, he could have approached the Hon'ble High Court for enhancement of compensation. Even now, the Complainant can file an appeal after taking the amount.

The Complainant has agreed to the advice given by the representative of the Public Information Officer.

Heard both the parties and perused the records.

The Commission is of the opinion that the issue of payment of revised compensation is a grievance and it does not attract the provisions of RTI Act 2005.

The Commission observed that the Complainant has been filing representations before various offices i.e. District Collector / RDO / LAO / Tahsildar seeking enhancement of compensation. In fact, the Complainant could have taken of compensation already fixed in 2013 and subsequently process for appeal which he could not do due to ignorance.

The Commission directs the Public Information Officer to pay the compensation already fixed and advice the Complainant to approach the Appellate Authority for enhancement on humanitarian grounds.

With the above direction, **the Complaint is closed**.

**Dr. Mohd. Ameer**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated by:**

**Assistant Registrar**  
**Copy to: IT Section/SF**