

# TELANGANA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

Samachara Hakku Bhavan, D.No.5-4-399, '4' Storied Commercial Complex,  
Housing Board Building, Mojam Jahi Market, Hyderabad – 500 001.  
Phone Nos: 040-24740638 (o); 040-24740592(Fax)

**Complaint No. 5097/SIC-Dr.Mohd.Ameer/2020**

**Dated: 23-06-2022**

Complainant : **Sri V.S.Reddy, R/o Secunderabad**

Respondents : **The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /  
O/o The Divisional Cooperative Officer, Secunderabad Division, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Gruha Kalpa, M.J.Road, Hyderabad.**

## **ORDER**

**Sri V.S.Reddy, R/o Secunderabad** has filed a Complaint dated **15-06-2020** which was received by this Commission on **17-06-2020** for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o The Divisional Cooperative Officer, Secunderabad Division, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Gruha Kalpa, M.J.Road, Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the Complaint and other records received along with it are that the Complainant herein filed an application dated **11-06-2020** under Sec.6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, before the PIO seeking following information:

### **INFORMATION-REQUEST:**

*Vide* the provisions u/s 4-A of AP CT (Procedures) Rules 1994/<sup>(Rule 9-A)</sup> any case can be filed in TS CT subject to the specified conditions. As such, please inform the compliance of the provisions by providing records in support of:

- Whether the person who presented CTA No. 27/2008 (through IAs) had the authority to do so.
- Whether it conformed to the provisions of the ACT and the Rules made there under.
- The relevant and material documents in support of the items (i) and (ii) above, as listed separately and annexed hereto as appendix.
- The records in support of the claims made by the AP CT office and M/s MCS Law Firm, *vide* their letter dt. 29-04-2009(copy enclosed-C)
- Any other material/record in substantiation of the above, as per Rule 9-A
- Inspection of and copies from the case-file CTA No. 27/2008 and ARC 9/2004, as required.

The PIO has not furnished the sought information to the complainant.

As the complainant did not receive the sought information from the PIO even after 30 days of filing his application, he preferred this Complaint before the Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him.

The Complaint was taken on file and notices were issued to the parties concerned for hearing on **23-06-2022**.

On **23-06-2022** the case is called. The Complainant is absent. The Public Information Officer / Assistant Registrar, O/o the Deputy Registrar of Cooperative Society, Secunderabad Division, Hyderabad is present.

The Public Information Officer submitted that the information sought by the Complainant is already covered in his applications already heard by the Commission on 13-9-2021 and in compliance of the orders of the Information Commission the information was furnished to the Complainant on 28-10-2021.

Heard the Public Information Officer and perused the records.

The record goes to show that the applicant has sought certain information with regard to Army Welfare Cooperative Housing Society. The Commission observed that the applicant in his earlier applications sought similar information on Army Welfare Cooperative Housing Society and all his (30) applications were heard on 13-9-2021. During the hearing the applicant summed up the information sought by him in (30) applications and submitted a concise note to furnish information on (10) points. In compliance with the orders of the Commission the Public Information Officer reported to have furnished factual information on 28-10-2021.

The Complainant did not choose to attend the hearing nor filed affidavit offering his version.

In view of the above, as the information is furnished, **the Complaint is closed.**

**Dr. Mohd. Ameer**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated by:**

**Assistant Registrar**  
**Copy to: IT Section/SF**