

# TELANGANA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

Samachara Hakku Bhavan, D.No.5-4-399, '4' Storied Commercial Complex,  
Housing Board Building, Mojam Jahi Market, Hyderabad – 500 001.  
Phone Nos: 040-24740638 (o); 040-24740592(Fax)

**Appeal No.9794/SIC-Dr.MA/2019**

**Dated: 26-04-2022**

Appellant : Dr. V. Muralidhar Reddy, R/o. Warangal Urban District

Respondents : **1. The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**  
O/o. the Tahsildar, Dharmasagar Mandal, Warangal Urban District

**2. The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**  
O/o. the Revenue Divisional Officer, Warangal Urban Division,  
Warangal Urban District

**The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**  
O/o. the Revenue Divisional Officer, Warangal Urban Division,  
Warangal Urban District

## **ORDER**

Dr. V. Muralidhar Reddy, R/o. Warangal Urban District filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal dated 23-08-2019 which was received by this Commission on 23-08-2019 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o. the Tahsildar, Dharmasagar Mandal, Warangal Urban District and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority / O/o. the Revenue Divisional Officer, Warangal Urban Division, Warangal Urban District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated 23-04-2019 under Sec.6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, before the PIO seeking the following information:

సమాచారం కోసం విన్నపం

సమాచార హక్కు చట్టం 2005, సెక్షన్-6 (1) ననుసరించి కింది సమాచారాన్ని సెక్షన్ 4(4) ప్రకారం తెలుగు భాషలో ప్రతి పేజీని సెక్షన్ 2(j)(ii) ప్రకరము ద్రువీకరించి ఇవ్వగలరు

తేది 27/10/2018 రోజున తమ కార్యాలయములో మరియు అర్.డి.బి. వరంగల్ గారికి అదేవిదముగా తిమ్మిరెడ్డి వల్లం, S.H.O. దర్మసాగర్ గారికి స్వయముగా - తహసీల్దార్ ధర్మసాగర్ (sri. Nageshwr Rao) గారు ఇచ్చిన తప్పుడు నివేదిక - రెవెన్యూ గురించి - మరియు మండల రెవిన్యూ అధికారులపై చట్ట ప్రాకారము తగు చర్యలు తీసుకోనుట - గురించి , ఇట్టి దరకాస్తులో ధర్మసాగర్ రెవిన్యూ అధికారుల తప్పిదల వలన సుమారు రు. 60,00,000/- విలువ గల భూమి మరియు రైతు బంధు , etc., నష్టము వటిల్లుతింది అని తగు చర్యలు తీసుకొమ్మని ధరకాస్తు ఇచ్చిఉన్నను,

- నెను తమ కార్యాలయము లో తేది 27/10/2018 రోజున ఇచ్చిన దరకాస్తు కాఫీలను ద్రువీకరించి ఇవ్వగలరు
- అదేవిదముగా తమరు కాని తమ సిబ్బంది కాని ఇప్పటి వరకు నా యొక్క దరకాస్తులపై తీసుకొన్న చర్యలు వాటి వివరములు, అట్టి దరకాస్తు పై స్పందించి ధర్మసాగర్ రెవిన్యూ అధికారులకు ఇచ్చిన అదేకాల కాఫీలు, వారి యొక్క సమదనములు, వాటి వివరములు పూర్తిగా ద్రువీకరించి ఇవ్వగలరు

The Public Information Officer has not furnished the sought information to the appellant.

Since the appellant did not receive the information from the Public Information Officer, he filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated 21-05-2019 before the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought.

As per the records enclosed it is noticed that the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority had failed to hear the case and pass appropriate orders on the 1<sup>st</sup> appeal filed before him.

As the appellant did not get information from the Public Information Officer, he preferred this 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him.

The 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal was taken on file and notices were issued to both the parties for hearing on 05-07-2021.

**On 05-07-2021** the case is called. The appellant is present. The PIO / Naib Tahsildar, Dharmasagar Mandal, Warangal Urban District is present. The First Appellate Authority / O/o. the Revenue Divisional Officer, Warangal Urban Division, Warangal Urban District is absent and authorized Sri D.Sridhar, Naib Tahsildar, O/o. the RDO, Warangal Urban Division to present the case.

The appellant say that he is in physical possession of the land. The existing (4) shares in land was made into (8) shares illegally and submitted false report by Tahsildar to RDO who passed orders accepting the false report submitted by Tahsildar. Hence, he is seeking correction orders by the RDO.

The PIO / Naib Tahsildar, Dharmasagar Mandal submitted that the representation dated 27-10-2018 of the appellant could not be enquired due to pendency of case in Lok Ayukta in the same matter. He further submitted that he has filed detailed report before the Hon'ble Lok Ayukta and District Collector in the matter. In response to the representation dated 27-10-2018 furnished to him. He also submitted that a latest report has been sent to the RDO for taking further necessary action.

The PIO further clarified that the correction of irregularities done by his predecessors cannot be made by him and the RDO is competent authority to pass appropriate orders in the matter.

In view of the above facts, submitted by the PIO / Naib Tahsildar, Dharmasagar Mandal the PIO / O/o. the Revenue Divisional Officer, Warangal Urban Division is directed to look into the entire matter and pass appropriate orders on the representation of appellant dated 27-10-2018 and communicate the same to the appellant within (15) days and submit compliance.

The case was adjourned to 26-04-2022.

**On 26-04-2022** the case is called. The Appellant is absent. The Public Information Officer / Naib Tahsildar, Dharmasagar Mandal, Warangal Urban District is present. The 1st Appellate Authority is absent. The Senior Assistant is present on behalf of the 1st Appellate Authority.

This case was earlier heard on 05-07-2021 with a direction to the PIO / RDO, Warangal Urban Division to look into the matter and pass appropriate orders on the representation dated 27-10-2018.

The Public Information Officer submitted that the sought information running into (29) pages was furnished to the appellant on 5-7-2021 by hand and on 03-1-2022.

The appellant did not choose to attend the hearing nor filed affidavit offering his version.

The PIO / Naib Tahsildar, Dharmasagar Mandal submitted that a detailed report has been submitted in the matter to the Collector and Lok Ayukta, Telangana State, Hyderabad on the allegations of the appellant that the then Tahsildar has furnished false report. The case is pending in Lok Ayukta and as such no action could be taken in the matter for re-enquiry. Further necessary action could be taken only in accordance with the outcome of the case.

The Commission is of the view that this matter and the matter heard in Appeal No.9792, 9793 are one and the same.

Hence, the Appeal is also **closed** as factual information has been already furnished to the appellant.

**Dr. Mohd. Ameer**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated by:**

**Assistant Registrar**  
**Copy to: IT Section/SF**