TELANGANA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
Samachara Hakku Bhavan, D.No.5-4-399, '4' Storied Commercial Complex,
Housing Board Building, Mojam Jahi Market, Hyderabad – 500 001.
Phone Nos: 040-24740638 (o); 040-24740592(Fax)

Appeal No. 2076/SIC-Dr.Mohd.Ameer/2021
Dated: 27-01-2023

Appellant : **Sri SUBBA RAO A, R/o Hyderabad District**

Respondents : **The Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) /**
The General Manager, O/o The Chairman & Managing Director, TSSPDCL, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.

The Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) /
The Director, O/o The Chairman & Managing Director, TSSPDCL, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.

O R D E R

Sri SUBBA RAO A, R/o Hyderabad District filed 2nd appeal dated 10-02-2021 which was received by this Commission on 12-02-2021 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / Director, O/o The Chairman & Managing Director, TSSPDCL, Mint Compound, Hyderabad and 1st Appellate Authority / Director, O/o The Chairman & Managing Director, TSSPDCL, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **27-05-2020** under Sec.6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, before the PIO seeking the following information:

> The entire note file pertaining to File No. CGM(HRD).GM(Adm.)/PO-3/91 and also the communications made in the file (i.e., Draft Orders, Letters etc.,) including the correspondences made if any in the file. The note/s sent to Board of Directors if any may please be furnished.

The Public Information Officer has not furnished the sought information to the appellant.

Since the appellant did not receive the information from the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **16-10-2020** before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought.

As per the records enclosed it is noticed that the 1st Appellate Authority had failed to hear the case and pass appropriate orders on the 1st appeal filed before him.

As the appellant did not get information from the Public Information Officer, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him.

The 2nd Appeal was taken on file and notices were issued to the parties concerned for hearing on 14-10-2022.

O**n 14-10-2022** the case is called**.** The Appellant is present. The Public Information Officer / General Manager, Head Office, TSSPDCL, Mint Compound, Hyderabad is present. The 1st Appellate Authority is absent.

The Appellant submitted that he did not receive the sought information from the Public Information Officer.

Note: This is system generated copy and no signature is required

The Public Information Officer submitted that the sought information was rejected U/s. 8 (1) (e) of RTI Act, 2005 on 19-6-2020 as the appellant is seeking 3rd party information.

Heard both the parties and perused the records.

The Commission directs the Public Information Officer to furnish permissible information to the appellant by RPAD **within (15) days** duly following the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 and submit compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, **the Appeal was adjourned to 27-01-2023.**

On 27-01-2023 the case is called. The representative of the Appellant, Sri K.Veda Prakash is present. The Public Information Officer / General Manager, O/o the CMD, Mint Compound, Head Office, Hyderabad is present. The 1st Appellate Authority is absent.

The Public Information Officer submitted that the appellant was informed on 19-6-2020 and 11-1-2023 that their do not appear to be any clarity in the information sought. It was further informed that the seeker wants entire file relates to Sri Veda Prakash, Ex.Junior Accounts Officer and he is not specific in his grounds.

The representative of the Appellant submitted that the sought information actually relates to him with regard to his service benefits. He explained in detail of his grievance for giving weightage in his payment as he is eligible. He has further submitted that the file of his grievance is running in the department. That in spite of the directions from the Government to accord weightage in his payment, the department is not considering his request. Instead, he alleged that the department is denying him to give correct decision on his representation. Thus, the sought information is of his own genuine grievance and as he is in service, he sought the information by filing 6(1) application through Sri Subba Rao.

The Commission observed that the sought information is his own service matter involving monitory benefit and there is ample ground in seeking the information on his grievance. Therefore, the Commission directs the Public Information Officer to furnish the correct decision on his grievance along with the connecting records on humanitarian grounds to the appellant by RPAD **within (15) days** from the date of receipt of this order and submit compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, **the Appeal is closed.**

Dr. Mohd. Ameer
State Information Commissioner

Authenticated by:

Assistant Registrar
Copy to: IT Section/SF

TSIC

Note: This is system generated copy and no signature is required