

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),

Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001

Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No:11420/SIC-MNR/2019

Date: - 24-04-2021

Appellant : Sri. V.Anil Kumar, H.No.3-598/2, Sri Krishna Complex, Subashchandranagar, Miyapur, Shourilingapuram, R.R.District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) The Deputy Tahsildar, Bachupally Mandal, Medchal – Malkajgiri District.

First Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) O/o the District Collector, Medchal – Malkajgiri District.

Amended Order

Sri V. Anil Kumar has filed second appeal dated **25-09-2019** which was received by this Commission on **27-09-2019** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ The Deputy Tahsildar, Bachupally Mandal, Medchal – Malkajgiri District and the First Appellate Authority/ O/o the District Collector, Medchal – Malkajgiri District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **17-06-2019** before the Public Information Officer/ O/o the District Collector, Medchal - Malkajgiri District requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the following points mentioned:

- అంశం ల -
రించి భవన గలకు.
- 1) రెవిన్యూ వారికి సంబంధించిన వివరాలు, విధులు, హాజర్ తలెత్తించుచోయగలకు.
 - 2) రెవిన్యూ వారి కార్యాల, సంస్థల అధికారులు ఉద్యోగుల అధికారాలు, విధులు తెలియచోయగలకు.
 - 3) రెవిన్యూ వారు నిర్వహించే అనుసరించే పద్ధతులు, పక్కచెట్టెలు, జవాబు పాత నిధులకు మార్గాలు తెలియచోయగలకు.
 - 4) రెవిన్యూ వారు తమ బాధ్యతల నిర్వహణకు కూపించిన నియమాలను తెలియచోయగలకు.
 - 5) రెవిన్యూ వారి ఉద్యోగుల బాధ్యతల నిర్వహణకు వినియోగించే నియమాలను, రెగ్యులేషన్లు, జాబ్ కార్డులు, మూసిన పత్రాలు తెలియచోయగలకు.
 - 6) రెవిన్యూ వారు తమ పత్రాలకు/ అవసరమైన అనుబంధాలకు వివిధ దాఖలాలను పట్టిక తెలియచోయగలకు.

And (39) more points.

The O/o the District Collector, Medchal – Malkajgiri District through Lr.No. A3/RTI/300/2019 dated 25-06-2019 transferred the application under section 6(3) of RTI Act, 2005 to the Public Information Officer/ the Tahsildar, Bachupally Mandal to furnish the sought information to the appellant directly under intimation to their office.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **29-07-2019** before the First Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The O/o the District Collector, Medchal – Malkajgiri District through Memo Lr.No. E1/RTI/1148/2019 dated 31-08-2019 furnished available information to the appellant.

The First Appellate Authority through Notice No. A3/RTI Appeal No. 3/2019 dated 23-08-2019 directed the Public Information Officer and the appellant to appear for hearing on 06-09-2019 at 3.00 PM along with related records/ documents.

The Public Information Officer through Memo Lr.No.RTI/457/2019 dated 05-09-2019 furnished available information to the appellant.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer and the First Appellate Authority, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, with a request to both the parties to attend for hearing on **10-03-2021 at 10.30 AM.**

The Case was heard on 10-03-2021. The appellant was absent. The APIO/ Smt.M.Bharathi, Senior Assistant, O/o. the Tahsildar, Bachupally Mandal, Medchal-Malkajgiri District was present and stated before the Commission that the appellant is seeking vast and voluminous information and vide letter No.RTI/457/2019, dated. 22-02-2021 the available information sought by the appellant has been furnished through registered post. The PIO further submitted a copy of the information before the Commission along with the copy of the postal receipt as a proof of dispatch.

The Commission perused the material papers available on records and observed that the PIO has furnished the information to the appellant but with an abnormal delay.

The Commission took a serious view against the PIO for not furnishing the information to the appellant within the stipulated period of 30 days as per section 7(1) of the RTI Act 2005. The Commission warns the PIO not to repeat such lapses in future and strictly adhere to the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

Since the information is furnished, the appeal is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Judicial Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC