

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001
Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No:12524/SIC-MNR/2019

Date: - 26-04-2021

Appellant : Ms. P. Bharathi, H.No. 5-464 & 478,
Deepthisrinagar, Miyapur,
Hyderabad.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Deputy Commissioner,
GHMC., Circle-21, Chandanagar, RR
District.

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Zonal Commissioner,
Serilingampally Zone, RR District.

Order

Ms/Sri. P. Bharathi has filed second appeal dated **21-10-2019** which was received by this Commission on **22-10-2019** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ O/o the Deputy Commissioner, GHMC., Circle-21, Chandanagar, Ranga Reddy District and the First Appellate Authority/ O/o the Zonal Commissioner, Serilingampally Zone, Ranga Reddy District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **17-06-2019** before the Public Information Officer requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the following points mentioned:

I have applied for building permission in my plot no.3 ,survey no 303 ,ICRISAT colony , Chandanagar vide CSC no **85165/06/12/2014/C12 in 2014. I have also paid the requisite amount vide DD no:417419 for Rs 10,000 and DD no:417418 for Rs. 48,645 both drawn on Andhra Bank on 03-12-2014.**

However, I came to know from your office that the application was rejected as the area of site on location was not tallying with the HUDA approved layout.

In this regard, please inform me the following.

- 1) Why the amount paid for the building permit application was not refunded even after 5 years?**
- 2) Please inform me of the clauses or G.Os, which prevents you from returning the amount.**

Stating that the appellant did not get any response from the Public Information Officer, he/she filed 1st appeal dated **30-07-2019** before the First Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him/her u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Stating that the appellant did not get any response from the First Appellate Authority, he/she preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him/her u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, with a request to both the parties to attend for hearing on **26-04-2021 at 10.30 AM.**

The Case was heard on 26-04-2021. The appellant was absent. The PIO/ Sri.P.Madhu, Town Planning Supervisor, GHMC, Circle-21, Chanda Nagar, Rangareddy District was present and stated before the Commission that vide letter Appeal No.12524/TPS/Cir-21/2019, dated.24-04-2021 the available information was already furnished to the appellant through registered post. The PIO further submitted a copy of the letter before the Commission.

The Commission perused the material papers available on record and observed that the PIO has agreed that they have furnished the information but failed to provide the proof of dispatch.

Hence the Commission directs the PIO to furnish the letter once again to the appellant within one week through registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Judicial Officer

Copy to: SO / SF / OC