Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005) D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building), Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001 Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No:14857/SIC-MNR/2019

Date: - 27-04-2021

Appellant : Sri Shaik Khaja Hussain, S/o. Shaik

Gulam Ahmed, R/o. H.No. 1-60-30/154/135, at T. Anjaiah Nagar, Gachibowli, K.V. Ranga Reddy District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer

(U/RTI Act, 2005)

O/o the Dy. Commissioner, GHMC., Circle-20, Serilingampally, Ranga

Reddy District.

First Appellate Authority

(U/RTI Act, 2005)

O/o. the Zonal Commissioner, GHMC., Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District.

Order

Sri Shaik Khaja Hussain has filed second appeal which was received by this Commission on **09-12-2019** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ O/o the Dy. Commissioner, GHMC., Circle-20, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District and First Appellate Authority/ O/o. the Zonal Commissioner, GHMC., Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **28-03-2019** before the PIO under Sec.6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, requesting to furnish the information on the following points mentioned in his application:

The following information may be furnished to me, as soon as possible, releasing to the BUILDING PERMISSION in Property of bearing H. No.4-46/96, Plot No.96/HIG, B. Block A.P.H.B at, Gacchi Bowli, Serilingampally, Hyderabad, Telangana State,

Kindly provide me; I would like to know the following:

1.) A Certified attested of Copy of APPLICATION along with all link documents Enclosures and SITE PLAN submitted by Applicant,

2.) A Certified attested of Copy of 1st First and Final Challan Fees Details,

3.) A Certified attested of Copy of 1st First NOTICE and Final NOTICE along with Acknowledgments signed by Property owner,

4.) A Certified attested of Copy of Building PERMIT ORDER along with PLAN,

I most humbly Request you, kindly inspect each and every documents which are been given to me and those documents must be attested completely i.e. office stamp and signature are very important on those documents.

The Public Information Officer through Letter dated 03-05-2019 informed to the appellant that as soon as the consent is received from the owner their office will furnish the required information.

Since the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **07-05-2019** before the 1st Appellate Authority u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 requesting him to furnish the information sought by him.

The First Appellate Authority through Notice dated 10-05-2019 directed the

Public Information Officer and the appellant to appear for hearing on 20-05-2019

@ 3.00 PM along with related records/ documents.

The First Appellate Authority through Order dated 22-05-2019 stated that

the Assistant Public Information Officer information has been sought to the

appellant on 03-05-2019 and directed to close the appeal.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the First

Appellate Authority, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting

to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal has been taken on file and Notices issued

to both the parties for hearing on 27-04-2021 at 10.30 AM.

The Case was heard on 27-04-2021. The appellant is present and filed

affidavit stating that the PIO has not furnished any kind of information till date.

The PIO/ Sri.A.Balraj, Superintendent, GHMC, Circle - 20, Serilingampally Zone is

present and stated that a letter Lr.No.RTI/C20/56/2019/89, dated.03-05-2019

was forwarded to the third party U/s.11(1) of the RTI Act 2005 seeking his consent

whether to furnish his information sought to the appellant and there is no reply

from the concerned party till date. Hence the information was not furnished to the

appellant.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record.

The Commission observed that the appellant is seeking information for 5 points

through his 6(1) application dated.28-03-2019 and the same comes under public

document. The Commission warns the PIO not repeat the same in the future and

furnish the information by following the provisions of section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act

2005.

The Commission directs the PIO to furnish the information to the appellant

except the copies of documents/link documents enclosed to the application at

point No.1 which are treated as documents covered U/s.8(1)(j) of the RTI Act 2005

within one week through RPAD and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Judicial Officer

Copy to: SO / SF / OC