Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005) D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building), Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001 Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Complaint No:15169/SIC-MNR/2019

Complainant : Sri. N.Yadagiri, H.No.1-6-43/2, Indiranagar

Colony, Chaitanyapuri, Rangareddy

Date: 16-06-2021

District.

Respondent : Public Information Officer

(U/RTI Act, 2005)

District Revenue Officer, Medchal -

Malkajgiri District.

<u>Order</u>

Sri M. Yadagiri filed a complaint dated **19-05-2019** which was received by this Commission on **23-05-2019** stating that the Public Information Officer/ District Revenue Officer, Medchal – Malkajgiri District did not implement the Commission Order in Appeal No. 372/CIC/2018 dated 23-10-2019.

The complainant stated that he was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he preferred this Complaint before the Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 18(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the Covid-19 pandemic, with a request to both the parties to attend for telephonic hearing on <u>16-06-2021 at 11.00 AM.</u>

In view of the Covid -19 Pandemic, the case is heard over phone on 16-06-2021 with prior intimation to the concerned parties. The complainant responded and stated that the PIO did not provide him the sought information. The PIO / Smt. Ahalya, Superintendent, O/o.the District Revenue Officer, Medchal Malkajgiri District responded and submitted a letter Lr.No.E2/375/2019 dated.28-04-2021 from the DRO, Medchal Malkajgiri District stating that as per the directions issued by the Commission in the earlier complaint No.372/CIC/2018 order dated.23-10-2019 directing the PIO to furnish the enquiry report to the Complainant within one month and vide Memo No.LP/408/2018, dated.17-12-2019 the action taken report has been furnished to the complainant. The PIO further stated that as per the reports of the Tahsildar, Uppal it appears that third party names were recorded in revenue records over the subject land. Basing on the Tahsildar report the case is taken as Su-Moto revision under section (9) of ROR Act, 1971 keeping in view of the interest of the Government and the file was under process and the Chief Commissioner & Land Administration, Telangana instructed that no orders on land matters by any authority in the department shall be issued until further orders.

The Commission directs the PIO to furnish the information to the complainant immediately after receiving the orders from the CC & LA to the complainant and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the complaint is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer Copy to: SO / SF / OC