Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005) D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building), Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001 Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Complaint No:16680/SIC-MNR/2017

Complainant : Sri.M.A.Rahman, H.No.90/C,

Nallagutta, Ramgopalpet, Secunderabad, Hyderabad.

Respondent : Public Information Officer

(U/RTI Act, 2005)

Deputy Tahsildar, Shamirpet Mandal,

Date: 04-06-2021

Medchal – Malkajgiri District.

<u>Order</u>

Sri M.A. Rahman filed a complaint dated **31-05-2017** which was received by this Commission on **31-05-2017** stating that the Public Information Officer/Deputy Tahsildar, Shamirpet Mandal, Medchal – Malkajgiri District did not implement the Commission Order in Appeal No. 896/CIC/2016 dated 04-07-2016.

The complainant stated that he was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he preferred this Complaint before the Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 18(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the Covid-19 pandemic, with a request to both the parties to attend for telephonic hearing on **04-06-2021 at 11.00 AM.**

In view of the Covid -19 Pandemic, the case is heard over phone on 04-06-2021 with prior intimation to the concerned parties. The complainant responded and stated that the PIO has not furnished the panchanama Copies and postal address which he sought through his 6(1) application. The PIO /Sri. Srinivas Reddy, Deputy Tahsildar, Shamirpet Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District responded and submitted a letter Lr.No.B/RTI/556/2021, dated. -05-2021 stating that the information sought by the complainant is not traceable presently and the same will be arranged.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record. The Commission in the earlier case No.896/CIC-MR/2016, Order dated. 04-07-2016 directed the PIO/ O/o.the Tahsildar, Shamirpet Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District to furnish the information within 30 days to the Complainant. The Commission is not satisfied with the reply given by the PIO.

The Commission took a serious view against the PIO/ Deputy Tahsildar, Shamirpet Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District for still giving the same reply. Informing that the files are not traceable does not absolve the PIOs for their responsibility in furnishing information. The PIO should either trace out the files or rebuild the record, it is the responsibility of the Public Authority for disappearance of the file relating to revenue records which are normally permanent records.

Note: This is system generated copy and no signature is required

In the instant case the complainant is seeking information regarding Panchanama Copies, postal address and witnesses obtained in a Panchanama report through his application dated.16-10-2015 and the Officials cannot escape from their obligations by saying that the records are not traceable.

The reply given by the Public Information Officer in the instant case shows gross negligence on the part of the Revenue Officials and therefore it is desirable that the RDO & the DRO who are the officers responsible for implementing RTI in the District also should ensure that the records are well maintained and to fix responsibility of the missing records.

The Tahsildar, Shamirpet Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District and the Revenue Divisional Officer, Medchal Malkajgiri District is directed to fix the responsibility and take against the concerned Officers for causing disappearance of the records under the Civil Services(Conduct & Classification) Appeals Rules for the missing files.

The Commission directs the PIO to give a specific reply to the complainant within one week from the date of receipt of this order through registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the complaint is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer

Copy to: SO / SF / OC