

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad - 500 001
Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No:278/SIC-MNR/2019

Date: - 07-04-2021

Appellant : Sri M. Sreedhar, H.No. 1-1-287/34, II Floor, Bapu Nagar, Chikkadpalli, Hyderabad.

Respondents : Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) O/o the TVVP., Hyderabad.

First Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) O/o the TVVP., Hyderabad.

Order

Sri M. Sreedhar has filed second appeal dated **07-01-2019** which was received by this Commission on **07-01-2019** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ O/o the TVVP., Hyderabad and the First Appellate Authority/ O/o the TVVP., Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **02-11-2018** before the Public Information Officer requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the following points mentioned:

1. M. Sreedhar, Typist to appoint అయిదవ నుండి రెండవ వరకు అంకె సెంటర్ Planning Section అనే పని చేయించి, Sr. Asst గా ప్రోమోషన్ తీసుకున్నాడు. పూర్తి Section మార్చలేదు. అప్పుడు 21.10.2018 తేదీన Commissioner retire అయ్యి జేజాన నన్ను ఏ కారణాల వలన Inward, Out ward Section కు మారుస్తున్నారు. అదే కారణం తెలుసుకొని అదే తీరులో అనుబంధ వచ్చిన పేజీ కమీషనరీ పేజీ మూడేళ్లు Section కి మార్చమని అడుగుతూనే వున్నాను. ఇది అయితే మారుస్తున్నా నన్ను ఒకటి రెండు మాత్రమే ఏ కారణాల వలన మార్చలేదు.

2. ప్రస్తుతం Head office లో వున్న Deputation/work order, Bifurcation మరియు Recruitment కోసము తీసుకున్న Asst. Directors/ Section officers/ Sr. Assts ను రెండు కి ఎంపికైనందుకు Head office లోని Establishment Sections, vigilance Section మరియు Peak లలో ఎందుకు ఇబ్బంది, Head office employees కి ఎందుకు ఇబ్బంది అ కారణాలను తెలియ చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకున్నాను.

PTO.

And (9) more points.

The Public Information Officer through Lr.Rc.No. 41215/VC3/RTI/2018 dated 14-12-2018 furnished available information to the appellant.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **03-12-2018** before the First Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The Public Information Officer through Lr.No. 515/G/2018 dated 31-12-2018 furnished available information to the appellant.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer and the First Appellate Authority, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The Public Information Officer through Lr.Rc.No. 68/RTI/PIO/2018 dated 25-06-2019 informed to the Commission that the applicant collected the information personally from their office in (3) spells i.e., on 19-11-2018, 04-01-2019 and 09-02-2019.

In view of the above, with a request to both the parties to attend for hearing on **07-04-2021 at 10.30 AM.**

The Case was heard on 07-04-2021. The appellant was absent. The PIO/Sri.J.Swamy, Assistant Director, O/o. the TVVP, Hyderabad was present and submitted that a letter Rc.No.68/RTI/PIO/2018, dated.19-11-2018, vide letter Rc.No.68/RTI/PIO/2018 dated.04-01-2019 and also vide letter Rc.No.68/RTI/PIO/2018, dated.09-02-2019 the information sought has been furnished personally to the appellant. The PIO further submitted before the Commission that the appellant through his 6(1) application dated.02-11-2018 seeking some information in a questionable form and is not as per section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005 so the same was not furnished to him. The PIO filed copies of the information sought along with the personal endorsement of the appellant.

Heard the PIO and perused the material papers available on records. The Commission observed that the PIO has furnished the available information to the appellant except for information sought in the form of questionnaire and not furnished as per the decision dated.03-04-2008 of the High Court of Bombay at Goa in Writ Petition No.419 of 2007 in the case of Dr.Pinto Vs Goa State Information Commission.

Since the information is furnished, the appeal is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Judicial Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC