

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001
Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No:365/SIC-MNR/2018

Date: - 27-04-2021

Appellant : Sri Ch. Shiva Satyanarayana, H.No. 2-128/A, Engineers Enclave, Chandanagar, MMTS Road, Hyderabad.

Respondents : Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Deputy Commissioner, GHMC., Circle-20, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District.

First Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Zonal Commissioner, Serilingampally Zone, Ranga Reddy District.

Order

Sri Ch. Shiva Satyanarayana has filed second appeal dated **08-01-2018** which was received by this Commission on **08-01-2018** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ O/o the Deputy Commissioner, GHMC., Circle-20, Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District and the First Appellate Authority/ O/o the Zonal Commissioner, Serilingampally Zone, Ranga Reddy District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **25-10-2017** before the Public Information Officer requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the following points mentioned:

SUB: Objection regarding the Behaviour and Attitude of Deputy Commissioner Circle-21 Sri. Venkanna
REF: Complaint on illegal and un-authorized construction at sy.no-315/1, Plot No. 43 (West Part), Engineers Enclave, Chandanagar, Hyderabad-50

With reference to the above I here by bring to your notice a few lines for your kind consideration and necessary action pl:

With ref to my earlier complaints mentioned below:

1. hard copy complaint dt 12-06-2017
2. 2017-06-w329859 dt 14-06-2017
3. 2017-06-w332309 dt 21-06-2017
4. 2017-07-w337010 dt 07-07-2017
5. 2017-09-w357165 dt 09-09-2017
6. 2017-09-w361201 dt 21-09-2017

During the perusal of the complaints with DC circle-21 Sri. Venkanna, there is a conversation on 21-09-2017 regarding the unauthorised floor construction and without standard setbacks, his behaviour and attitude towards me and with my husband is highly objectionable. He is directly supporting the illegal and unauthorised construction by backing up the owner /builder of the house asking us "what is your problem if he constructs", " let him construct and regularise in the future" and indirectly threatening us and talking out of the complaint and talking in favour of the owner of the house which is under construction.

He is trying to fabricate all these complaints as a personal grudge complaint and also saying that, there, every thing is ok as per plan(which is not true)what is his interest in it?. My RTI request for furnishing copy of approved plan and proceedings is not yet served even after your instructions on 16-10-2017 in our presence.

After conversation with sri venkanna DC Cir-21 and seeing the delay tactics in taking action and supply of information even after your clear instructions also, circle -21 officials are seems to be just ignoring my complaints might be due to corrupt reasons.

Hence it is once again here by brought to your notice for taking necessary action against complaints.

Stating that the appellant did not get any response from the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **15-11-2017** before the First Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Stating that the appellant did not get any response from the First Appellate Authority, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, with a request to both the parties to attend for hearing on **31-01-2019 at 10.30 AM.**

The case was heard on 31-01-2019. The appellant and the representative of the Public Information Officer both are present. As the Public Information Officer was absent to the case, and his representative is unable to reply. The case is **adjourned.**

In view of the above, with a request to both the parties to attend for hearing on **21-10-2019 at 10.30 AM.**

The case was heard on 21-10-2019. The appellant was present. The Public Information Officer and the First Appellate Authority both are absent. The case is **adjourned.** Issue notice to the complainant and the Z.C. West Zone who is respondent.

In view of the above, with a request to both the parties to attend for hearing on **27-04-2021 at 10.30 AM.**

The Case was heard on 27-04-2021. The appellant was absent. The PIO/Sri.B.Sampath, Assistant City Planner, GHMC, Circle-20, Serilingampally zone was present and stated that vide letter Lr.No.RTI/364/TPS/Cir-21/SLPZ/2019, dated.09-02-2019 part information was furnished to the appellant and for remaining information they informed the appellant that the matter is pending in the Hon'ble Civil court. The PIO further submitted a copy of the letter before the Commission along with the copy of the postal receipt as a proof of dispatch.

The Commission directs the PIO to furnish the remaining information to the appellant immediately after receipt of the orders from the Hon'ble Civil Court and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Judicial Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC