

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),

Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001

Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No:4357/SIC-MNR/2020

Date: - 08-03-2022

Appellant : Sri Y. Suresh, H.No. 4-7-19/21,
Raghavendra Nagar, Nacharam,
Hyderabad – 500 076.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the NIMS., Hyderabad.

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the NIMS., Hyderabad.

Order

Sri Y. Suresh has filed second appeal dated **01-06-2020** which was received by this Commission on **01-06-2020** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ O/o the NIMS., Hyderabad and the First Appellate Authority/ O/o the NIMS., Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **27-12-2019** before the Public Information Officer requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the following points mentioned:

1. As per details provided by Asst. Registrar (HRD). Provide the reason behind continuing N Madhusudhana. for the position of Senior Auditor officer for the period of 8 years 10 months (Till present).
2. Mention the service rule following which the above mentioned person is being continued for the position after his retirement.
3. Mention the service rule following which he has been given extension for three years (01.07.2012 to 01.01.2016) and four years (02.01.2016 to 31.03.2020).
4. As per mentioned in List of job trainees – 2016 (Technical Staff). Sl.No. 28. Ms. Alekhya. was appointed in Radiology department as there was no female candidate available. Please provide with service rule following which the appointment was done.
5. As per rules, if there is no eligible candidate for the position, the post should be carried forward in next notification, hence provide with the service rule about, who has authority to take the decision about appointment.
6. Provide with reason behind the short term notice for the notifications Rc.No.HR1/564/2014/R Dt: 01.07.2014 (7 days term), Rc.No.HR1/404/2015/R Dt: 06.05.2015 (6 Days term).
7. As per rule what is the term for the notice for recruitment notification.
8. Why the Local criteria eligibility was not mentioned in all notifications

The Public Information Officer through Letter dated 02-01-2020 requested the Public Information Officer/ Dy. Registrar, HRD., NIMS., to send the relevant information/ certified copies of required information of the application.

The Asst. Registrar (SRC), NIMS., through Letter dated 30-01-2020 informed to the applicant that the Public Information Officer/ Dy. Registrar, HRD, NIMS has furnished the reply vide letter dated 29-01-2020 and the same is enclosed herewith for your perusal and necessary information.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **24-02-2020** before the First Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The First Appellate Authority through Letter dated 04-03-2020 informed to the concerned Public Information Officer/ Dy. Registrar, HRD, NIMS., to submit the information immediately for the application dated 24-02-2020.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the First Appellate Authority, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, notice is issued to both the parties to attend for hearing on **08-03-2022 at 10.30 AM.**

The case is heard on 08-03-2022. The appellant is absent. The PIO/ Sri P.Raj Kumar, Dy.Registrar, O/o the NIMS, Hyderabad is present and stated that the information sought by the appellant is ready and to be furnished to the appellant.

Heard the PIO and perused the material papers available on record. The Commission observed that the PIO is ready to furnish the information to the appellant.

The Commission took a serious view against Public Information Officer for not furnishing the information to the appellant within the stipulated period of 30 days as per section 7(1) of the RTI Act 2005. The Commission warns the PIO not to repeat such lapses in future and strictly adhere to the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

The Commission directs the PIO to furnish the information to the appellant following the guidelines of Section 8, 9, 10 & 11 of RTI Act within one week through RPAD and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC