

# Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)  
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),  
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001  
Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

**Appeal No:6128/SIC-MNR/2021**

**Date: - 08-03-2022**

Appellant : Sri J. Bhaskara Rao, H.No. 11-6-228/103, N.S.R. Residency, Pochammabagh, Road No. 1, Saroornagar, Hyderabad – 500 035.

Respondents : Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005) O/o the NIMS., Hyderabad.  
First Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005) O/o the NIMS., Hyderabad.

## **Order**

Sri J. Bhaskara Rao has filed second appeal dated **28-04-2021** which was received by this Commission on **29-04-2021** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ O/o the NIMS., Hyderabad and the First Appellate Authority/ O/o the NIMS., Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **21-12-2020** before the Public Information Officer requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the following points mentioned:

As subject cited above, I, J. Bhaskara Rao, a permanent resident of H.No.11-6-228/103, N.S.R.Residency, Pochammabagh Road No.1, Saroor Nagar, Hyderabad-500 035, Telangana State and being a senior citizen of Republic of India and the rights conferred in the Right to Information Act, 2005 to the citizens. I hereby request you to allow me the inspection of Service Register of the following retired employees under section 2 sub-rule (j)(i) of the said Act.

S.No.	Name	Designation	Status	Emp. Code No.
01.	Dr. Shanmukha Swamy,	Sr. Technical Officer (Lab)	Retired	10076
02.	Sri M. Samiuddin	Sr. Technical Officer (Lab)	Retired	10085
03.	Sri N. Laxman	Sr. Technical Officer (Cath Lab)	Retired	10168
04	Smt. Ann Lourdu Mary	Head Nurse	Retired	04313

After receipt of your approval under this application. I intend to carry out actual inspection of service registers and obtain certified photocopies of service registers identified by me during inspection. I may be permitted such inspection under section 2 sub-rule (j)(i) of the said Act.

The Public Information Officer through Letter dated 12-01-2021 informed to the applicant that application is hereby rejected.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated **18-01-2021** before the First Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The First Appellate Authority through Letter dated 23-02-2021 requested the Public Information Officer/ Incharge HRD & Incharge Claims Section NIMS., to submit the information immediately for the application dated 18-01-2021.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the First Appellate Authority, he preferred this 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, notice is issued to both the parties to attend for hearing on **08-03-2022 at 10.30 AM.**

The Case is heard on 08-03-2022. The appellant is present and stated that the PIO has not furnished the information. The PIO/ Sri P.Raj Kumar, Dy.Registrar, O/o the NIMS, Hyderabad is present and stated that the information sought by the appellant is related to personal information of third party and vide letter Rc.No.RTI/PIO/2021, dated.03-03-2022 rejected to furnish the same to the appellant.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record. The Commission observed that though the information sought by the appellant is third party information and the same was rejected U/s.8(1)(j) of RTI Act but the PIO failed to provide any proof of acknowledgement.

The Commission took a serious view against Public Information Officer for not furnishing the information/reply to the appellant within the stipulated period of 30 days as per section 7(1) of the RTI Act 2005. The Commission warns the PIO not to repeat such lapses in future and strictly adhere to the provisions of RTI Act 2005.

Hence the Commission directs the PIO to furnish the reply to the appellant within one week through RPAD and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

**MYDA NARAYAN REDDY**  
**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

**Authenticated by:**

**Section Officer**  
**Copy to: SO / SF / OC**