

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),

Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001

Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No:7171/SIC-MNR/2021

Date: - 22-02-2022

Appellant : Sri Shaik Yaqub, Pregnapur Village,
Gajwel Mandal, Siddipet District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the District Educational Officer,
Siddipet District.

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
The District Educational Officer,
Siddipet District.

Order

Sri Shaik Yaqub has filed second appeal dated **08-06-2021** which was received by this Commission on **09-06-2021** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ O/o the District Educational Officer, Siddipet District and the First Appellate Authority/ the District Educational Officer, Siddipet District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **15-02-2021** before the Public Information Officer requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the following points mentioned:

- 1) సిద్దిపేట డిస్ట్రిక్ లో ఎన్ని ప్రైవేటు కు ఎయిడెడ్ పాఠశాలలు ఉన్నాయి
- 2) మండల్ త్రవ్వగం స్కూల్ లలో, స్కూల్ లను నిర్వహించే -
మరియు స్కూల్ ల పాఠ పుస్తకాల వాస సౌకర్య -
ఇవ్వగలరు.
- 3) సిద్దిపేట డిస్ట్రిక్ లో ఉన్న అవకలి లోని ప్రైవేటు మరియు
ఎయిడెడ్ పాఠశాలలు (చదువులో ఇంకా కుర్చీలు పంపిణీ చేసిన
(పాఠశాల కుర్చీలు సంవత్సరం ఇంకా) ఇవ్వగలరు.

The Public Information Officer through Letter dated 17-02-2021 transferred the application under section 6(3) of RTI Act, 2005 to all the MEOs of Siddipet District and requested to furnish the available information to the appellant under intimation to their office.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **27-03-2021** before the First Appellate Authority/O/o the District Collector, Siddipet District requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The First Appellate Authority/O/o the District Collector, Siddipet District through Letter dated 12-04-2021 forwarded the appeal to the First Appellate Authority/ O/o the District Educational Officer, Siddipet District to arrange and furnish the information to the appellant under RTI Act, 2005.

The First Appellate Authority through Letter dated 23-04-2021 requested to all the MEOs of Siddipet District and requested to furnish the available information to the appellant under intimation to their office.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the First Appellate Authority, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, notice is issued to both the parties to attend for hearing on **22-02-2022 at 10.30 AM.**

The Case is heard on 22-02-2022. The appellant is present and stated that the PIO has not furnished the information. The PIO/Sri L.Venkateshwar Reddy, Assistant Director, O/o the District Educational Officer, Siddipet District is present and stated that vide letter Lr.No.476/B1/RTI/2021, dated.17-02-2021 the 6(1) application filed by the appellant was transferred U/s.6(3) of RTI Act through mail to the all the Mandal Educational Officers of the Siddipet District to furnish the information directly to the appellant. The PIO further submitted a copy of the letter along with the copy of the mail.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record. The Commission observed that the information sought by the appellant pertains to all the Mandal Educational Officers of the District.

Keeping in view of the Office Memorandum No.10/2/2008-IR, Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pension, Department of Personnel & Training, New Delhi dated.12th June, 2008 states. The Commission is of the view that the PIO ought to have furnished the held information to the appellant and transfer the 6(1) application only to a single Public Information Officer and advised the appellant to file separate 6(1) application before the concerned MEO's, but failed to do so. However the Commission observed that the appellant claims that he requires the list of recognized private schools functioning in Siddipet District.

Hence the Commission directs the PIO to furnish the list of recognized schools functioning in Siddipet District to the appellant within one week through RPAD and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC