

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),

Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001

Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No:8726/SIC-MNR/2020

Date: 11-01-2022

Appellant : Sri G.K. Sampath Kumar, H.No. 14-1-3/1,
S.C.B. Nagar, Ramavaram – 507 118,
Khammam District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
The DGM (Per), O/o the SCCL.,
Kothagudem Area, Bhadradi –
Kothagudem District.

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
The GM (HRD), SCCL., Kothagudem,
Bhadradi – Kothagudem District.

Order

Sri G.K. Sampath Kumar has filed second appeal dated **23-10-2020** which was received by this Commission on **23-10-2020** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ The DGM (Per), O/o the SCCL., Kothagudem Area, Bhadradi – Kothagudem District and the First Appellate Authority/ The GM (HRD), SCCL., Kothagudem, Bhadradi – Kothagudem District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **19-08-2020** before the Public Information Officer requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the following points mentioned:

- For Rs 10/- for copy
- ① Please arrange to supply a copy of Approved procedure is being followed since 2011 as per the circular CRP/PER/PM/2229/2011, dt 11.2.2011 in case of NCA employee transferred from one Area to other Area where exit action dates was not furnished in the office order CRP/PER/PM/2208/2015, dt 30.11.2015.
 - ② Please arrange to supply a copy of Approved procedure being followed in case of employee transferred from one Area to other Area was not relieved by the HOD where the employee got transferred is working, but action by SAP-HR/corporate procedure where in office order exit action dates were not mentioned/furnished by the competent authority.
 - ③ Please arrange to supply a document connected/sent by my HOD's for taking exit action by SAP-HR/corporate.
 - ④ Please arrange to furnish the SAP-HR/corporate will out as per the document 02 as per verbal instruction in complementing exit action in SAP-HR/corporate in my case as per my office order CRP/PER/PM/2208/2015, dt 30.11.2015.
 - ⑤ Please arrange to supply a copy of letter connected to me for taking exit action in the year 2015 made by SAP-HR/corporate.
- Yours faithfully

The Public Information Officer through Letter dated 10-09-2020 for point No. 3 the information sought by the applicant is not clear & vague.

The Public Information Officer through Letter dated 19-09-2020 furnished available information to the appellant.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **19-09-2020** before the First Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The First Appellate Authority through Letter dated 28-09-2020 requested the Public Information Officer to provide the sought/ available information to the applicant.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the First Appellate Authority, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, notices are issued to both the parties to attend for hearing on **21-12-2021 at 10.30 AM.**

The Public Information Officer through Ref.No. CRP/RTI-PIO/G.C./1170 dated 14-12-2021 submitted that he was engaged in preparation work of "Singareni Formation Day Celebrations to be celebrated on 23-12-2021 and hence requested the Commission to postpone the hearing date.

In view of the above, informed both the parties over phone to attend for hearing on **11-01-2022 at 10.30 AM.**

The Case is heard on 11-01-2022. The appellant is absent. The PIO/Sri Dhanpal Srinivas, DGM(P), SCCL, Kothagudem Area is present and submitted that vide Ref No.CRP/PER/PM/RTI-3130/1238, dated.09-09-2020 the appellant was informed that the information sought by him is not clear and vague and also posting hypothetical situations and requested the appellant to seek specific information. The PIO further submitted a copy of the letter along with the copy of the postal acknowledgement.

Heard the PIO and perused the material papers available on record. The Commission observed that the information sought by the appellant is hypothetical and also not specific.

Hence the Commission advises the appellant to seek specific information by filing a separate 6(1) application before the concerned PIO.

In view of the above, the appeal is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC