

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),

Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500 001

Phone: 24740666 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No:8727/SIC-MNR/2020

Date: 11-01-2022

Appellant : Sri G.K. Sampath Kumar, H.No. 14-1-3/1, S.C.B. Nagar, Ramavaram – 507 118, Khammam District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer (U/RTI Act, 2005)
The DGM (Per), O/o the SCCL., Kothagudem Area, Bhadradi – Kothagudem District.

First Appellate Authority (U/RTI Act, 2005)
The GM (HRD), SCCL., Kothagudem, Bhadradi – Kothagudem District.

Order

Sri G.K. Sampath Kumar has filed second appeal dated **23-10-2020** which was received by this Commission on **23-10-2020** for not getting the information sought by him from the Public Information Officer/ The DGM (Per), O/o the SCCL., Kothagudem Area, Bhadradi – Kothagudem District and the First Appellate Authority/ The GM (HRD), SCCL., Kothagudem, Bhadradi – Kothagudem District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated **10-08-2020** before the Public Information Officer requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on the following points mentioned:

Order No BIF 499818 To -
information under RTI Act 2005.
① Please furnish number of complaints received against NCCOA employees by vigilance Department for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 & 2019.
② Please furnish number of complaints received against NCCOA employees by vigilance department were proved for the year 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 & 2019.
③ Please furnish the number of complaints received against NCCOA employees not proved by vigilance department for the year 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 & 2019.
④ Please furnish number of complaints received against executives proved / not proved by vigilance department for the year 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 & 2019.
⑤ Please furnish number of letters received written to DGP/AeB from 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 & 2019.

Yours faithfully
[Signature]

The Public Information Officer through Letter dated 05-09-2020 informed to the applicant that the information sought by him has already furnished in the earlier replies.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the Public Information Officer, he filed 1st appeal dated **14-09-2020** before the First Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The First Appellate Authority through Letter dated 17-09-2020 requested the Public Information Officer to provide the sought/ available information to the applicant.

Stating that the appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the First Appellate Authority, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, notices are issued to both the parties to attend for hearing on **21-12-2021 at 10.30 AM.**

The Public Information Officer through Ref.No. CRP/RTI-PIO/G.C./1170 dated 14-12-2021 submitted that he was engaged in preparation work of "Singareni Formation Day Celebrations to be celebrated on 23-12-2021 and hence requested the Commission to postpone the hearing date.

In view of the above, informed both the parties over phone to attend for hearing on **11-01-2022 at 10.30 AM.**

The Case is heard on 11-01-2022. The appellant is absent. The PIO/Sri Dhanpal Srinivas, DGM(P), SCCL, Kothagudem Area is present and submitted that vide Ref No.CRP/VIG/2020/PIO-3122/H/402, dated.15-09-2020 the appellant was informed that he is seeking repetitive information by changing the format of the application and the information sought in the present 6(1) application dated.10-08-2020 is one and the same. The PIO further stated that since the same information was furnished in the earlier applications, the same was informed to the appellant.

Heard the PIO and perused the material papers available on record. The Commission observed that the above appellant is seeking repeated information from the same PIO and the PIO has rejected to furnish the information by keeping in view of the decision made by Central Information Commission, vide decision No.CIC/DA/A/2013/001326-SA dated.25-06-2014.

Hence the Commission advises the appellant not to repeat the same in the future.

In view of the above, the appeal is closed.

MYDA NARAYAN REDDY
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC

TSLIC