



Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal, he preferred this 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal may be taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 26-08-2021 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 26-08-2021. The appellant is present and stated that the PIO has not furnished the information. The PIO / Ms. Marati Suguna, BRB Coordinator, O/o the DWO, WCD & DW, Peddapalli District is present and filed her affidavit stating that the information consisting of 830 pages was furnished to the appellant on 29-10-2020 and filed the copy of the covering letter along with the postal acknowledgement of the appellant before the Commission.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record and observed that the appellant through his 6(1) application dated: 29-06-2020 seeking information on 4 points and the PIO vide dated: 17-07-2020 informed the appellant that the information sought consists of 826 pages and to pay Rs. 1652/- to furnish the same and on receipt of the amount the PIO has furnished the information on 29-10-2020. The appellant stated that the PIO has not attested the copies of the information furnished.

The Commission directs the PIO to furnish the attested copies of the information to the appellant within One (1) week from the date of receipt of this order through Registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

**SYED KHALEELULLAH**  
**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

**Authenticated by:**

**Section Officer**  
**Copy to: SO / SF / OC**