

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),

Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.

Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No: 11378/SIC-SK/2020

Date: - 08-07-2021

Appellant : Sri Junaid Anam Siddiqui, Hyderabad.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Deputy Commissioner, GHMC,
Circle-09, CRZ, Hyderabad.

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Zonal Commissioner, South
Zone, Charminar, Hyderabad.

Order

Sri Junaid Anam Siddiqui, Hyderabad has filed 2nd appeal dated: 29-12-2020 which was received by this Commission on 30-12-2020 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Deputy Commissioner, GHMC, Circle-09, CRZ, Hyderabad and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o the Zonal Commissioner, South Zone, Charminar, Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 18-09-2020 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

1) IS THERE ANY PROCEDURE TO GIVE THE TENDER TO ANY CONTRACTOR WITH -0.01 PERCENT BY TAKING UGE ILLEGAL GRATIFICATION SUCH AS HE IS MAKING VERY LOSS OF REVENUE TO THE GOVT OF TELANGANA MA & UD?

2) AGAINST THE ABOVE THIS WHAT ACTION HAS BEEN TAKEN AGAINST THIS CORRUPTED OFFICIAL?

3) FURNISH THE GENERAL BUDGET "E" PROCUREMENT SHORT TENDER NOTICE CALLING THE TENDER THE CONTRACTOR WHO ARE APPROACHING FOR THEIR CONTRACT WORK HAS BEEN CANCELLED WITHOUT MENTIONING ANY REASON & BY GIVING 35 PERCENT LESS BIDDING AMOUNT AFTER CANCELLING "E" PROCUREMENT SHORT TENDER & GIVING IN THE WITHOUT TENDER OF -0.01 PERCENT LESS HENCE PROVIDE THE DETAILS, FURNISH THE DETAILS AS PER RTI ACT OF 2005?

4) HOW MANY CIVIL CONTRACTORS APPROACH TO TENDERS WERE REJECTED ON WHATS GROUNDS DURING THE YEAR 13-02-2019 TO 15-09-2020?

5) THE PARTICULARS OF INFORMATION REQUIRED: SRI MAHBOOB MIYA PRESENTLY WORKING AS A EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IN CIRCLE-09, CHARMINAR-DIVN.NO.9, (OLD DIVN -5A) SOUTH ZONE, AND WHEATHER HIS APPOINTMENT IS THROUGH EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE OR DIRECT BY THE AUTHORITY AND HIS QUALIFICATION DEGREE CERTIFICATE FROM WHICH RECOGNIZED UNIVERSITY OF INDIA?

6) SRI MAHBOOB MIYA PRESENTLY WORKING AS A EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IN CHARMINAR ZONE DURING HIS SERVICE IS THEIR ANY ENQUIRY PENDING AGAINST HIM BY VIGILANCE ENFORCEMENT FURNISH IT, THE INFORMATION, AND ANY COURT CASES PENDING AGAINST HIM IN CITY CIVIL COURT, HIGH COURT OF TELANGANA, OR QUASI-JUDICIAL BODY LIKE HON'BLE LOK AYUKTA FOR HIS MISCONDUCT IN SERVICE?

7) SRI MAHBOOB MIYA PRESENTLY WORKING AS A EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IN CHARMINAR ZONE HIS MONTHLY PAY SLIP INFORMATION AND FURTHER HE HAD TAKEN ANY LOAN OR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FROM THE CORPORATION OR ANY BANK, FURNISH THE INFORMATION?

8) SRI MAHBOOB MIYA PRESENTLY WORKING AS A EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IN CHARMINAR ZONE PROVIDE HIS SERVICE RECORD BOOK & ASSEST RECORD, HOW MANY TIMES HE SUSPEND & DURING HIS SERVICE SO FAR & HOW MANY TIMES MEMO'S HAS BEEN ISSUED AGAINST THIS RACIST OFFICER, FURNISH THE INFORMATION?
IN FORM OF CERTIFIED COPIES.

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1st appeal dated: 19-10-2020 before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal may be taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 08-07-2021 at 11.00 A.M.

In view of the Covid -19 Pandemic, the cases are heard over phone on 08-07-2021 with prior intimation to the concerned parties. The appellant responded and stated that the PIO has furnished incomplete information. The PIO / Sri. Namy Nayak, Executive Engineer, GHMC, Circle – 9, Charminar Zone, Hyderabad responded and stated that the appellant is seeking 3rd party information which could not be furnished. The PIO failed to submit the copy of the letter before the Commission.

Heard the PIO and perused the material paper available on record and observed that the appellant through his 6(1) application dated: 18-09-2020 seeking information on 8 points.

The Commission directs the PIO to furnish the information relating to point No: 1 to 5 to the appellant (Keeping in view of Section 8, 9, 10 & 11 of the RTI Act 2005) within One (1) week from the date of receipt of this order through Registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer

Copy to: SO / SF / OC