

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No: 11379/SIC-SK/2020

Date: - 08-07-2021

Appellant : Sri Junaid Anam Siddiqui, Hyderabad.
Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Deputy Commissioner, GHMC,
Circle-09, CRZ, Hyderabad.
First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Zonal Commissioner, South
Zone, Charminar, Hyderabad.

Order

Sri Junaid Anam Siddiqui, Hyderabad has filed 2nd appeal dated: 29-12-2020 which was received by this Commission on 30-12-2020 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Deputy Commissioner, GHMC, Circle-09, CRZ, Hyderabad and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o the Zonal Commissioner, South Zone, Charminar, Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 19-10-2020 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

- 1) IN CIRCLE-09, DIVN-9, GHMC, MOGHALPURA SPORTS COMPLEX, SOUTH ZONE, ALL THE DEVELOPMENT OF GHMC WORKS HAS BEEN ALLOTTED PROVIDE THE DETAILS UNDER RTI ACT OF 2005 DURING THE YEAR DATED:13-02-2019 TO 19-10-2020?
- 2) IN WHOSE DIRECTION OR ORDER ESTIMATE RATE HAS BEEN ISSUED TO THE REGISTERED CONTRACTORS FURNISH THE DETAILS?
- 3) NAME OF THE OFFICER & HIS RANK & CONTACT NUMBER?
- 4) COMMISSIONER GHMC, CHIEF ENGINEER GHMC, ZONAL COMMISSIONER SOUTH ZONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER & SUPERINTENDENT ENGINEER AMONG THEM WHO IS RESPONSIBLE?
- 5) WHETHER IF THEIR ANY DEVELOPMENT WORK IS GIVING ON NOMINATION CALL OR THROUGH TENDER?
- 6) WHETHER ARE YOU INFORM TO COMMISSIONERATE OF TENDERS & CHAIRMAN GOVT OF TELANGANA, B.R.K BHAVAN, SECRETARIAT IN WHICH WHETHER IT WAS MENTIONING OF HOW MUCH LOSS OF REVENUE TO THE GOVT OF TELANGANA?
- 7) IF SO PROVIDE THE DETAILS OF ERROR OFFICIAL OF GHMC CIRCLE-09, WHO ARE SYPHONING, SWINDLING THE HARDCORE AMOUNT OF THE PUBLIC, IF SO WHETHER ARE YOU INFORM TO DIRECTOR GENERAL VIGILANCE & ENFORCEMENT, GOVT OF TELANGANA STATE, B.R.K BHAVAN, 11TH FLOOR HYDERABAD OR DIRECTOR ANTI-CORRUPTION BUREAU GOVT OF TELANGANA, BANJARAHILLS HYDERABAD IF NOT WHY?

And more few points.

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1st appeal dated: 24-12-2020 before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal may be taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 08-07-2021 at 11.00 A.M.

In view of the Covid -19 Pandemic, the cases are heard over phone on 08-07-2021 with prior intimation to the concerned parties. The appellant responded and stated that the PIO has not furnished information. The PIO / Sri. Namya Nayak, Executive Engineer, GHMC, Circle – 9, Phool Bagh, South Zone, Hyderabad responded and stated that the information was furnished to the appellant, but failed to submit the copy of the information furnished to the appellant before the Commission.

Heard both the parties and perused the material paper available on record and observed that the appellant through his 6(1) application dated: 19-10-2020 is seeking information on 13. Upon not filing any documentary evidence of furnishing the information to the appellant, the Commission cannot accept the submissions made by the PIO.

The Commission took a serious view against the PIO and directs to issue **Show Cause Notice** to the present PIO & the then PIO / O/o the Executive Engineer, GHMC, Circle – 9, Phool Bagh, South Zone, Hyderabad for not furnishing the information to the appellant within the stipulated period of 30 days as per Section 7(1) of the RTI Act 2005. The action on the **Show Cause Notice** will be dealt separately.

The Commission directs the PIO to give a specific point wise reply to the appellant (Keeping in view of Section 8, 9, 10 & 11 of the RTI Act) within One (1) Week from the date of receipt of this order through Registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC