

# Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)  
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),  
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.  
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

**Appeal No: 15748/SIC-SK/2018**

**Date: - 03-09-2021**

Appellant : Sri M. Sathish,  
Kumarambheem District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court  
for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

First Appellate Authority  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Registrar General, High Court  
for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

## Order

Sri M. Sathish, Kumarambheem District has filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal dated: 26-11-2018 which was received by this Commission on 28-11-2018 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority / O/o the Registrar General, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 27-07-2018 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:



The PIO vide Roc No. 564/PIO/JUDL/2018 dated: 14-08-2018 furnished the information to the appellant.

Aggrieved with the reply from the PIO, the appellant filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated: 15-09-2018 before the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal, he preferred this 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal was taken on file and Notices are issued to both the parties for hearing on 03-09-2021 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 03-09-2021. The appellant is absent. Sri G. Naresh representing Sri A. Kranthi Kumar, Standing Counsel and advocate on record for O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad is present and stated that a reply was given to the appellant vide letter ROC No: 564/PIO/Judl/2018 dated: 14-08-2018 informing that for point No: 1 the appellant was advised to verify the official website of the High Court and for point No: 2 the information sought is not as per section 2(f) of RTI Act and rejected accordingly. A copy of the same is filed before the Commission. The copies of order / decision passed by the First Appellate Authority through appeal No: 168 of 2018 is not filed before the Commission.

Perused the material papers available on record and observed that the PIO has furnished information to the appellant.

Keeping in view of the submissions made by the Counsel, the appeal is closed.

**SYED KHALEELULLAH**  
**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

**Authenticated by:**

**Section Officer**

**Copy to: SO / SF / OC**