

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No: 23516/SIC-SK/2017

Date: - 03-09-2021

Appellant : Sri G. Praveen Reedy,
Sangareddy District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court
for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Registrar General, High Court
for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

Order

Sri G. Praveen Reedy, Sangareddy District has filed 2nd appeal dated: Nil which was received by this Commission on 23-10-2017 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o the Registrar General, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 26-07-2017 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

శుం. ప్రి కార్పొరేషన్ అప్లికేషన్ నం. 433 తే 2017 తేదీ 20-07-2017
తీర్చిన గావ్యులపేరిట. అయితే శుం. ప్ర. సమాచార కమిషన్
(case no. 3714/CIC/17016/01/16-02-2017) కార్యకర్తలను
కార్యకర్తలను సమాచార కమిషన్ వారు గావ్యుల సమాచార కమిషన్
అప్లికేషన్ నం. 433 తే 2017 తేదీ 20-07-2017 తేదీ 13-03-2017
మొదటి అప్లికేషన్ కు సంబంధించిన సమాచారం అందించినట్లు
గావ్యుల సమాచార కమిషన్ కు సంబంధించిన క్రమీ సమాచారం
అందించినట్లు తెలుసుకుంటాను.

1) అప్లికేషన్ నం. 433 తే 2017 శుం. ప్రి కార్పొరేషన్ పంపించిన ప్రశ్నలకు
మంజూరుగాల వివరాల మరల పంపించి సమాచారం అందించండి.

2) కార్యకర్తలకు సంబంధించిన సమాచారం అందించండి.

3) గావ్యుల అప్లికేషన్ కు సంబంధించిన ప్రశ్నలకు మంజూరుగాల సమాచారం
అందించినట్లు తెలుసుకుంటాను.

The PIO vide Roc No. 598/PIO/JUDL/2017 dated. 1-08-2017 furnished the information to the appellant.

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1st appeal dated: 17-08-2017 before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information – sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal was taken on file and Notices are issued to both the parties for hearing on 03-09-2021 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 03-09-2021. The appellant is present and stated that the PIO has not furnished the information. Sri Swaroop Oorilla, Standing Counsel and advocate on record for O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad is present and submitted that a reply was given to the appellant vide letter ROC No: 598/PIO/JUDL/2017 dated: 01-08-2017 and on filing the 1st appeal dated: 17-08-2017 an order was passed by the First Appellate Authority vide appeal No: 135 of 2017 dated: 18-09-2017. A copy of the replies are filed before the Commission.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record.

The Commission is of the view that the order passed by the PIO and the First Appellate Authority are justified.

The appeal is closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer

Copy to: SO / SF / OC