

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No: 5397/SIC-SK/2019

Date: - 03-09-2021

Appellant : Sri P. Ramaprasad, Hyderabad.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court
for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Registrar General, High Court
for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

Order

Sri P. Ramaprasad, Hyderabad has filed 2nd appeal dated: 06-05-2019 which was received by this Commission on 08-05-2019 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o the Registrar General, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 18-06-2018 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

విషయం : W.P. 34129 of 2016 & W.P. 34145 of 2016, High Court at Hyderabad వ్యాజ్యములకు సంబంధించిన సమాచారం కొరకు.

Respected Sir,

W.P. 34129 of 2016 & W.P. 34145 of 2016 వ్యాజ్యములకు సంబంధించి, 18-6-2018 తేదీనాటి స్థితిని, సమాచార హక్కు చట్టం, Section 2 (j) (2) ప్రకారం, ధృవీకరించి యివ్వవలసిందిగా కోరుచున్నాను.

దరఖాస్తు రుసుము నిమిత్తం రు.10/- విలువ కలిగిన 43F 968174 నెంబరు గల Indian Postal Order ను యిందుతో జతపర్చడమైనది.

The PIO vide ROC No.440/PIO/JUDL/2018 dated. 27-06-2018 furnished the information to the appellant.

Aggrieved with the reply from the PIO, the appellant filed 1st appeal dated: 04-08-2018 before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal was taken on file and Notices are issued to both the parties for hearing on 03-09-2021 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 03-09-2021. The notice dated: 10-08-2021 issued by the Commission was returned to the Commission with a noting that “the addressee left without instructions returned to sender.” Hence the appellant is absent. Sri M. Sudharshan, Advocate on record for O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad is present and stated that vide letter ROC. No: 440/PIO/JUDL/2018 dated: 27-06-2018 the application was rejected informing the appellant that the PIO is not the proper authority to secure the records in pending as well as disposed of matters in the Hon’ble High Court and tender the same to the appellant for his verification, the appellant is at liberty to move appropriate application for verification of case records under the relevant rule of High Court appellate side rules. On filing the 1st appeal dated: 04-08-2018 the First Appellate Authority issued an order in appeal No: 142 of 2018 dated: 04-09-2018 by upholding the reply of the PIO.

Perused the material papers available on record. On the concurrent finding of the PIO and the First Appellate Authority.

No orders are necessary in this appeal, hence closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC