

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No: 9332/SIC-SK/2019

Date: - 03-09-2021

Appellant : Sri T. Srinivasa Chary, Hyderabad.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Registrar Judicial,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
Hyderabad.

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Registrar General, High Court
for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

Order

Sri T. Srinivasa Chary, Hyderabad has filed 2nd appeal dated: 13-08-2019 which was received by this Commission on 14-08-2019 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o the Registrar General, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 21-02-2019 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

1. Certified copy of Action and Enquiry report filed with AP/TS registrar general in CCNo 578/15 XACMM COURT Secunderabad with the reference to my complaint to RG and Home Secy and Ministry of law and justice Dept of justice my complaint copy forwarded by MLJ enclosed and CID enquiry PuranaHaveli conducted on 10-10-16.
2. Enquiry and action report in CCNO.578/15 and 172/17 enquiry conducted by Hyderabad district session judge nampally (MSJ COURT) on 27-7-2018 with the reference to my complaints forwarded to ACJ who is patron in chief of national lok adhalat and frauds played by XACMM COURT,POLICE AND FALSE COMPLAINANTS . The district judge CAO took my evidence and statements on 27-7-2018 and the enquiry and action proposed forwarded to the registrar vigilance and to the honourable chief justice the certified copies of actions taken and enquiry a report copy.
3. The vigilance registrar forwarded a complaint to the district judge in CCNO. 578/15 ON APSIC ORDER FORWARDED TO REGISTRAR GENERAL IN 30139/CIC CHILKALGUDA POLICE OFFICIALS THREAS of LOCK UP DEATH – ENCOUNTER and EXTORTIONS OF LETTERS IN 2015-16 and for actions against XACMM COURT and police and complainants.certified copies of enquiry and actions taken .if no action taken please state the reasons
4. Honble CJ who is Patron in chief and Head of the Administration of Justice of lower court X ACMM Sec bad abused, court proceedings by Parties and police and Magistrate/s actions if any took against them provide certified copies ., if not state reasons for not taken actions being patron in chief when lok adalat was misused by XACMM Court and police and false complainants in ccnos 578/15,172/17 and fir 741/16 false case proved and proved false cases filed and actions pending before Govt in 387/17

And more few points.

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1st appeal dated: 10-05-2019 before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal was taken on file and Notices are issued to both the parties for hearing on 03-09-2021 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 03-09-2021. The appellant is absent. Sri P. Ram Prasad, Standing Counsel for O/o the Registrar Judicial, High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad is present and stated that a reply was given to the appellant vide letter ROC No: 87/PIO/JUDL/2019 dated: 22-03-2019.

Perused the material paper available on record and observed that the reply given by the PIO is not specific.

The Commission directs the PIO to give a specific reply to the appellant with in Two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of this order through Registered post acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC

T S I C