

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No: 9624/SIC-SK/2020

Date: - 02-09-2021

Appellant : Sri Kaleem Ahmed, Nirmal District.
Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Municipal Commissioner,
Nirmal Municipality, Nirmal District.
First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Municipal Commissioner,
Nirmal Municipality, Nirmal District.

Order

Sri Kaleem Ahmed, Nirmal District has filed 2nd appeal dated: 16-11-2020 which was received by this Commission on 19-11-2020 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Nirmal Municipality, Nirmal District and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Nirmal Municipality, Nirmal District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 30-05-2020 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

* సరళిలో మున్సిపల్ కార్పొరేషన్ కి సర్టిఫికేట్ కార్పొరేట్ ఎవరు? అభిప్రాయం? ఎవరంటారు...?

* సరళిలో మున్సిపల్ కార్పొరేషన్ కి అతనిని ఎవరు నియమించారు? అతని కార్యవర్గం ఎవరు?

* సర్టిఫికేట్ కార్పొరేట్ కు సువిధింపబడని అనేక ఫైజిల్ విషయాలు చెల్లించారు? వీటిని వారు ఎంత చెల్లించారు? వాటి వివరాలు క్లుప్తంగా ఎవరంటారు తెలిపవలసింది...

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1st appeal dated: 12-10-2020 before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal may be taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 02-09-2021 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 02-09-2021. The appellant is present and stated that the PIO has not furnished the information. The PIO / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Nirmal Municipality, Nirmal District is absent.

Heard the PIO and perused the material papers available on record and observed that the appellant filed 6(1) application dated: 30-05-2020 seeking information on 8 points.

The Commission took a serious view and directs to issue **Show Cause Notice** to the present PIO and the then PIO / O/o the Municipal Commissioner, Nirmal Municipality, Nirmal District for not furnishing the information to the appellant within the stipulated period of 30 days as per Section 7(1) of RTI Act and for not appearing before the Commission for the hearing held on 02-09-2021. The action on the **Show Cause Notice** will be dealt separately.

The Commission directs the present PIO to furnish the information to the appellant immediately on the date of receipt of this order through Registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer

Copy to: SO / SF / OC