

# Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)  
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),  
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.  
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

**Appeal No: 1094/SIC-SK/2022**

**Date: - 21-07-2022**

Appellant : Sri M. Nathaniel, Mancherial District.  
Respondents : Public Information Officer  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Tahsildar,  
Luxettipet Mandal, Mancherial District.  
First Appellate Authority  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Mancherial Divisional,  
Mancherial District.

## **Order**

Sri M. Nathaniel, Mancherial District has filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal dated: 19-01-2022 which was received by this Commission on 24-01-2022 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Tahsildar, Luxettipet Mandal, Mancherial District and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority / O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mancherial Divisional, Mancherial District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 29-07-2021 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

1. నా యొక్క ఫిర్యాదుకు (త్రుతగా మికు చెప్పట్టిన చర్యల ఎవరూ ఇవ్వగలరు.
2. నా యొక్క ఫిర్యాదుపై తగిన చర్యలు తీసుకొనడానికి, ఎలాంటి సందేశిత అధికారులకు ఇదేనాలు చొర చేసిన ప్రతుల ఇవ్వగలరు
3. నా యొక్క ఫిర్యాదుపై ఎలాంటి అధికారుల గమనంయన, చెప్పిన నోట్ ప్రతుల ఇవ్వగలరు
4. నా యొక్క ఫిర్యాదుపై మికు పొందిన లబోప్రాయం ఏమిటి వాటి ప్రతుల ఇవ్వగలరు.
5. నా యొక్క ఫిర్యాదుపై సమర్థ అధికారి యొక్క నిర్ణయం ఏమిటి వాటి ప్రతుల ఇవ్వగలరు.
6. నా యొక్క ఫిర్యాదుపై దర్యాప్తు చేయటాకు అప్పీంచబడిన దర్యాప్తు అధికారి యొక్క పేరు, హోదా, తాత్కాలిక చర్యలకు ఇవ్వగలరు.
7. నా యొక్క ఫిర్యాదుపై దర్యాప్తు అధికారి చీ తయారు చేయబడిన దర్యాప్తు నివేదిక ప్రతుల ఇవ్వగలరు.

And more few points.

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated: 06-09-2021 before the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal, he preferred this 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 21-07-2022 at 12.00 P.M.

The case is called on 21-07-2022. Sri J. Kalyan Chakravarthy representing the appellant is present and stated that the PIO has not furnished the information. The APIO / Sri S. Sreehari, Junior Assistant is present and filed affidavit of the PIO / Sri V. Sanath Kumar, Deputy Tahsildar, Luxettipet Mandal, Mancherial District stating that as per the direction of the District Collector, Mancherial District the information was furnished to the appellant on 29-12-2020, but failed to submit the copy of information furnished nor the documentary evidence of furnishing the information to the appellant before the Commission.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record and observed that the appellant filed 6(1) application dated: 29-07-2021 before O/o the District Collector, Mancherial District seeking the action taken on his complaint dated: 13-07-2021 and accordingly filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated: 06-09-2022 and the First Appellate Authority / O/o the District Collector, Mancherial District vide letter No: A2/RTI/4914/2021 dated: 16-09-2021 directed the PIO / O/o the Tahsildar, Luxettipet Mandal, Mancherial District and the PIO / O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mancherial District to appear for hearing on 25-09-2021. The representative of the PIO stated the information sought by the appellant is not available.

Upon not filing the documentary evidence of furnishing the information to the appellant, the Commission cannot accept the submissions of the PIO.

The Commission took a serious view against the present PIO / Sri V. Sanath Kumar, Deputy Tahsildar, Luxettipet Mandal, Mancherial District and the then PIO / Smt. Haritha, Deputy Tahsildar, Luxettipet Mandal, Mancherial District and directs to issue **Show Cause Notice** for not furnishing the information to the appellant within the stipulated period. The action on the **Show Cause Notice** will be dealt separately.

The Commission directs the PIO to make through search and furnish the information or give point wise specific reply or furnish the copy of the FIR for missing of Revenue Records to the appellant duly attesting within One (1) Week from the date of receipt of this order through Registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

**SYED KHALEELULLAH**  
**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

**Authenticated by:**

**Section Officer**  
**Copy to: SO / SF / OC**