

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 21-07-2022 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 21-07-2022. The appellant is present and filed his affidavit stating that the PIO has not furnished the information. The APIO / Sri J. Santosh Kumar, Senior Assistant is present on behalf of the PIO / Smt. P. Anjali, Deputy Tahsildar, Mancherial Mandal & District and filed his affidavit stating that reply was to the appellant vide letter No: RTI/87/2021 dated: 28-09-2021. The APIO filed copy of the same before the Commission.

Sri A. Dilesh, Senior Assistant is present and filed affidavit of the First Appellate Authority / Sri Dasari Venu, Revenue Divisional Officer, Mancherial Division & District and stated that vide letter Rc. No: I/428/2021 dated: 03-11-2021 the appellant and the PIO were called for hearing on 22-11-2021 and the PIO submitted his letter No: RTI/115/2021 dated: 15-11-2021 stated that the said information is furnished to the appellant.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record. The appellant stated that the PIO has not furnished the information. The Commission is not satisfied with the reply of the PIO warns the PIO not to repeat such lapses in future and strictly adhere to the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

The Commission directs the PIO to allow the appellant for verification of records on a mutually fixed date and time by giving a prior intimation to the appellant and furnish the required information or give point wise reply to the appellant as sought through Registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC