Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005) D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building), Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001. Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No: 11736/SIC-SK/2021

Date: - 07-07-2022

Appellant : Sri Ubbani Raju, Nalgonda District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer

(U/RTI Act, 2005) O/o the Tahsildar, Munugoda Mandal, Nalgonda District.

First Appellate Authority

(U/RTI Act, 2005)

O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Nalgonda Division, Nalgonda District.

Order

Sri Ubbani Raju, Nalgonda District has filed 2nd appeal dated: 16-09-2021 which was received by this Commission on 16-09-2021 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Tahsildar, Munugoda Mandal, Nalgonda District and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Nalgonda Division, Nalgonda District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 07-06-2021 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

1 . భూ,రికార్డుల నిర్వహణ కోసం తెలంగాణ రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం తీసుకొచ్చిన " ధరణి పోర్టల్" నిర్వహణకు మునుగోడు తహళీల్దార్ (MRO) కు, infrastructure portal నిర్వహణ కోసం రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం మంజురు చేసిన " 10 లక్షల రూపాయలు ఏ విదంగా ఖర్పు చేశారు,

ఈ ఆఫీప్ లొ dharani registration కొరకు పర్నిచర్,ఇతర పరికరాలు కొనుగోలు (కంప్యూటర్, ప్కానర్,ప్రింటర్,థంట్, <mark>ఐరిస్)</mark> కొనుకోలుకు అయిన ఖర్చు మరియు దానికి సంబంధించిన బిల్లుల వివరాలు ఇవ్వగలరు,

10 లక్షల రూపాయాల్లో తహసీల్దార్ (MRO) బ్రిజరీ ద్వారా ఎన్ని బిల్లులు డ్రా చేశారు, ట్రెజరీ బిల్లుల వివరాలు మరియు మిగిలిన నగడు వివరాలు ఇవ్వగలరు..

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1st appeal dated: 12-07-2021 before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2^{nd} appeal was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 07-07-2022 at 12:00 P.M.

The case is called on 07-07-2022. The appellant is present and filed his affidavit stating that the PIO has not furnished the information. The PIO / Ms. D. Vijayalaxmi, Deputy Tahsildar, Munugode Mandal, Nalgonda District is present and filed her affidavit stating that the information was furnished to the appellant vide letter No: 425/2021 dated: 04-08-2021 and filed copy of the covering letter before the Commission.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on records. The appellant submitted that the PIO furnished incomplete information, but could not establish as what information furnished is incomplete.

In view of the above, the appeal is closed.

