

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),

Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.

Phone: 24740155 Fax: 247405928

Complaint No: 15569/SIC-SK/2021

Date: 14-07-2022

Complainant : Sri V. Raghupathi Reddy,
Warangal District.

Respondent : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
The Chief Administrative Officer,
O/o the Prl. District and Session
Judge, Warangal District.

Order

Sri V. Raghupathi Reddy, Warangal District has filed a Complaint dated: 24-11-2021 which was received by this Commission on 29-11-2021 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / Chief Administrative Officer, O/o the Prl. District and Session Judge, Warangal District.

The brief facts of the case as per the Complaint and other records received along with it are that the Complainant herein filed an application dated: 08-09-2021 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application annexed.

1. CC No 3323/2019, గవ. ఉపన్యాయ మంత్రి కార్యాలయం వరంగల్
(సం. 258/2017. విధివిధాన ప్రకారం పునరుద్ధరణ వరంగల్
రెవెన్యూ కమిషన్ మొత్తం నాందిచ్చిన కంప్యూటర్ ఛాంబర్ లో
దృవీకరణ జరగలేదు.

Stating that the PIO has not furnished the required information even after 30 days of filing his application, he preferred this Complaint before the Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 18(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the Complaint was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 14-07-2022 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 14-07-2022. The complainant is absent, but informed over phone that the PIO has not furnished the information. Sri Ch. Rajender, Field Assistant is present and filed affidavit of the PIO / Sri Md. Abdul Azeem, Senior Superintendent I/c Chief Administrative Officer stating that the reply was given to the complainant vide letter Dis. No: 5907 dated: 04-10-2021 informing that the appellant is a party to the proceeding, he is at the liberty to move appropriate application for obtaining certified copies of documents as per the procedure laid down under the relevant rules of Civil Rules of Practice, subject to entitlement and returned the application. The representative of the PIO filed copy of the same before the Commission.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on records.

The Commission upholds the reply of the PIO and advises the complainant to follow the procedure to obtain the Certified Copies.

In view of the above, the complaint is closed.

**SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

Authenticated by:

**Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC**

T S I C