

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 25-08-2022 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 25-08-2022. The appellant is present and filed his affidavit stating that the PIO has furnished part information. The PIO / Smt. C. Subhadra, District Welfare Officer and Sri Ramulu, Superintendent, O/o the WCD & SC, Nalgonda District are present and filed her affidavit stating that vide letter No: 34/PIO/2021 dated: 12-08-2021 the appellant was requested to pay Rs. 666/- and on 23-08-2021 the appellant paid the requisite fee and the information was furnished to the appellant on 07-09-2021 under acknowledgement of the appellant. On filing 1st appeal dated: 16-09-2021 reply was given to the appellant vide letter No: 47/PIO/2021 dated: 12-10-2021 while upholding the reply of the PIO and furnishing for few points the detailed information was rejected as per Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act 2005. The PIO filed copies of the covering letters along with the acknowledgement of the appellant before the Commission.

Heard the PIO and perused the material papers available on record. The appellant stated that the PIO has not furnished the complete information, the PIO furnished copies of the information before the Commission.

Since the information is furnished, the appeal is closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC