

# Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)  
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),  
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.  
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 247405928

**Complaint No: 2845 & 3253/SIC-SK/2022**

**Date: 16-09-2022**

Complainant : Sri Narasimharao,  
Nadakuduru, Krishna District.

Respondent : Public Information Officer  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Senior Civil Judge Court,  
(Bailiff Section), Sathupally,  
Khammam District.

## **Order**

Sri Narasimharao, Nadakuduru, Krishna District has filed a Complaint dated: 25-02-2022 which was received by this Commission on 02-03-2022 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Senior Civil Judge Court, (Bailiff Section), Sathupally, Khammam District.

The brief facts of the case as per the Complaint and other records received along with it are that the Complainant herein filed an application dated: 30-12-2021 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application annexed.

I (Narasimharao Nadakuduru) have filed marriage petition (under Null and void act, case number 1670/2019) in the Court of Pune Maharashtra against Anuradha Nadakuduru alias Bcyapally Anuradha D/o Venkataramachari (Beerapalli village, Vemsur mandal, Khammam District). Pune Court had sent summons to Anuradha through Bailiff to Sathupally court on dated 10-12-2019.

Can you please provide below information

1. Inward, outward copy with date
2. Reason for not served.

Stating that the PIO has not furnished the required information even after 30 days of filing his application, he preferred this Complaint before the Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 18(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the Complaint was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 16-09-2022 at 10.30 A.M.

The cases are called on 16-09-2022. The complainant is present and filed his affidavit stating that the PIO has not furnished the information. The PIO / Sri B. Ravi, Senior Superintendent, O/o the Senior Civil Judge's Sathupally, Khammam District is present and filed his affidavit stating that the information was furnished to the complainant by hand on 26-02-2022 filed copy of the same before the Commission.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record.

The Commission is of the view that the PIO has furnished the information to the complainant.

Since the information is furnished, the complaints are closed.

**SYED KHALEELULLAH**  
**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

**Authenticated by:**

**Section Officer**

**Copy to: SO / SF / OC**