

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)

D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),

Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.

Phone: 24740155 Fax: 247405928

Complaint No: 2968/SIC-SK/2022

Date: 17-08-2022

Complainant : Sri T. Poshetty, Kamareddy District.
Respondent : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the District Collector,
Kamareddy Collectorate,
Kamareddy District.

Order

Sri T. Poshetty, Kamareddy District has filed a Complaint dated: 03-03-2022 which was received by this Commission on 04-03-2022 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the District Collector, Kamareddy Collectorate, Kamareddy District.

The brief facts of the case as per the Complaint and other records received along with it are that the Complainant herein filed an application dated: 27-01-2022 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application annexed.

- ooOoo-
- 1) I submit that I am Sri T. Poshetty (SC/66 yrs) Retd., Thdr./MRO in 2014 and also TS Bar Council, Hyd. enrolled Advocate in 2015 but non practicing on health reasons. I am President, Cheyuta Seva Sangam (Regd/VO) rendering social service of needy genuine & general public under the name & sign of it from my own H.No. 3-1-82, Banswada (V&Md), Kamareddy Dist.
 - 2) That the following correspondence is pending on the false / fake & untrue willful Appl. of Sri Pendyala Pandari (BC) R/o. Banswada against me by utter blames which un-imaginable which deserves discrete enquiry / investigation by action to that effect against him in (30) days under SC/ST (POA) Act/Rules by Collr. Kamareddy, Supdt. of Police, Kamareddy and TS Bar Council, Hyderabad. But till today is pending yet instead of the SC/ST Commn. Hyd. directions vide ref. 4th, 5th to complete in (30) days.
 - 3) So the following correspondence is resting with the officials to which information/ATR / records required under RTI Appl. U/s. 6(1)(3) to which Rs. 10/- Court Fee Stamps towards Appl. Fee is affixed here with
 - i) Collr. Kamareddy ... 17.11.2020/ 23.11.2020 / 26.11.2020 / 03.04.2021/01.12.2021 / 21.12.2021
 - ii) Supdt. of Police Kamareddy. 28.10.2020/02.11.2020/17.11.2020/23.11.2020/26.11.2020/ 03.04.21 / 01.12.2021 / 21.12.2021,
 - iii) DGP Hyd. ... 26.11.2020, 03.04.2021
 - iii) DIG, Nzb ... 26.11.2020, 03.04.2021
 - iv) DSP Banswada ... 28.10.2020/02.11.2020/17.11.2020/23.11.2020/26.11.2020/ 03.04.2021/01.12.2021
 - v) SHO/Cl(U) Banswad. 26.10.2020/28.10.2020/02.11.2020/17.11.2020/23.11.2020/26.11.2020/03.4.2021 / 01.12.2021
 - vi) RDO Banswada .. 03.04.2021, 21.12.2021
 - vii) Thdr. Banswada .. 03.04.2021 / 21.12.2021
 - viii) TS Bar Council, Hyd. 17.11.2020 / 23.11.2020 / 26.11.2020/03.04.2021
 - ix) Pri. Secy to Govt.(Home) Hyd. 03.4.2021 / 26.11.2021
 - x) Pri. Secy to Govt.(Home) Hyd. 03.4.2021 / 26.11.2021
 - xi) CCLA, Hyd. ... 03.4.2021 / 26.11.2021
 - xii) SHRC Hyd. ... 03.4.2021 / 26.11.2021
 - 4) I therefore request for information /ATR / Records of this case transfer U/s. 6(3) also that I may go ahead legally by Court Case and defamation suits & RTI rights Act: against such false (BC) complainant remained negligently by Ad. for the last (2) years without appropriately desired by SC/ST Commn. Hyd.
- Thanking you Sir, 2022 Yours faithfully
- REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICE
31 JAN 2022

Stating that the PIO has not furnished the required information even after 30 days of filing his application, he preferred this Complaint before the Commission requesting to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 18(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the Complaint was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 17-08-2022 at 12.00 P.M.

The case is called on 17-08-2022. The complainant is present and filed his affidavit stating that the PIO has not furnished the information. Sri K. Sai Bhujang Rao, Superintendent is present on behalf of the PIO / Sri K. Chandra Mohan, Additional Collector / District Revenue Officer, Kamareddy District and filed his affidavit stating that they are not in receipt of the 6(1) application dated: 27-01-2022, hence the information was not furnished.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record and observed that the complainant filed 6(1) application dated: 27-01-2022 seeking information on 4 points and multiple sub points pertaining to many other PIOs. The Commission cannot accept the submission made by the PIO and warns the PIO not to repeat such lapses in future and strictly adhere to the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

Since the information sought pertains to multiple PIOs, the Commission advises the complainant to file separate applications before the concerned Public Authorities seeking specific information and obtain the required information.

With the above direction, the complaint is closed.

**SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

Authenticated by:

**Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC**

T S I C