

# Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)  
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),  
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad - 500001.  
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

**Appeal No: 3386/SIC-SK/2022**

**Date: - 01-09-2022**

Appellant : Sri Md. Rafi, Mahabubnagar District.  
Respondents : Public Information Officer  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Tahsildar, Midjil Mandal,  
Mahabubnagar District.  
First Appellate Authority  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Mahabubnagar Division,  
Mahabubnagar District.

## Order

Sri Md. Rafi, Mahabubnagar District has filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal dated: 04-03-2022 which was received by this Commission on 14-03-2022 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Tahsildar, Midjil Mandal, Mahabubnagar District and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority / O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mahabubnagar Division, Mahabubnagar District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 14-01-2022 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

1) వెనుల క్రమముగా మరియు క్రమం కలిగి ఉన్న సర్టిఫికేట్లను  
ఉపయోగించి వెనులక్రమం మరియు క్రమం కలిగి ఉన్న ఆఫీసర్ల జాబ్  
ప్రొఫైల్స్ ను సర్టిఫికేట్ల మేరకు పునరుద్ధరించే ఉపయోగం కోరుకుంటున్నాను.  
వెనుల మరియు క్రమం వల్ల దోషానికి పరిచయం కలిగి ఉన్న వారి జాబ్  
ప్రొఫైల్స్. ఈ వాటి ఆధారంగా కార్యాలయాలను ఏర్పాటు  
కాదు మరియు ఇతర అంశాలను విజ్ఞప్తి చేయాలి. ఇతర అంశాలను  
మీరు కృతజ్ఞంగా పరిశీలించండి.  
మీరు సేవ కోసం ప్రతి ఒక్కరినీ సర్టిఫికేట్లను  
చూడండి. మరియు ఈ వాటి ఆధారంగా కార్యాలయాలను  
మరియు RTI-2005 లోని నిబంధనలను అనుసరించి  
సర్టిఫికేట్లను ఉపయోగించండి. 61.C 164552 & 61.C 164551

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated: 09-02-2022 before the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal, he preferred this 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 01-09-2022 at 12.00 P.M.

The case is called on 01-09-2022. The appellant is absent. The PIO / Ms. M. Geetha, Deputy Tahsildar, Midjil Mandal, Mahabubnagar District is present and filed her affidavit stating that reply was given to the appellant vide letter No: B/RTI/01/2022 dated: 01-02-2022 informing that the information cannot be furnished as per Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act 2005 and on filing 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated: 02-02-2022 reply was given to the appellant vide letter on 25-02-2022 informing that the information cannot be furnished as per Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act 2005. The PIO filed copy of the letters before the Commission.

Heard the PIO and perused the material papers available on record.

The Commission advises the appellant to file separate application seeking specific information as per Section 6(1) of the RTI Act 2005 and obtain the required information.

In view of the above, the appeal is closed.

**SYED KHALEELULLAH**  
**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

**Authenticated by:**

**Section Officer**

**Copy to: SO / SF / OC**