

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No: 6724/SIC-SK/2022

Date: - 15-09-2022

Appellant : Sri M. Rama Krishna,
Nizamabad District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Tahsildar, Morthad Mandal,
Nizamabad District.

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Nizamabad Division,
Nizamabad District.

Order

Sri M. Rama Krishna, Nizamabad District has filed 2nd appeal dated: 12-05-2022 which was received by this Commission on 18-05-2022 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Tahsildar, Morthad Mandal, Nizamabad District and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Nizamabad Division, Nizamabad District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 25-08-2021 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

1. మోర్తాడ్ మండల రెవెన్యూ కార్యాలయములో అందుబాటులో ఉన్న లికార్డుల రిజిస్టర్ల పేర్లను ధృవీకరించి ఇవ్వగలరు.
2. మోర్తాడ్ మండల పరిధిలోని రేషన్ షాప్ డీలర్ల పేర్లు, షాప్ నెంబర్లు, సెల్ నెంబర్లను ధృవీకరించి ఇవ్వగలరు.

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1st appeal dated: 04-10-2021 before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 15-09-2022 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 15-09-2022. The appellant is present and filed his affidavit stating that the PIO has furnished part information. The APIO / Sri P. Lingam, ARI is present and filed affidavit of the PIO / Smt. B. Sujatha, Deputy Tahsildar, Morthad Mandal, Nizambad District stating that reply was given to the appellant vide letter No: B/466/2021 dated: 21-09-2021 and filed copies of the same before the Commission.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record. The appellant stated that the PIO has not furnished the information for point No: 1, the APIO submitted that the information sought is not specific and the same was informed to the appellant but the appellant did not turn up seeking which register he wants.

Upholding the version of the APIO, the Commission advises the appellant to file a separate 6(1) application seeking specific information so as to enable the PIO to furnish the same.

In view of the above, the appeal is closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC