

# Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)  
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),  
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.  
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

**Appeal No: 7270/SIC-SK/2022**

**Date: - 16-09-2022**

Appellant : Sri M. Ramakrishna,  
Khammam District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Child Development Project  
Officer, ICDS, Sathupally Division &  
Mandal, Khammam District.

First Appellate Authority  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Project Director, ICDS,  
Khammam District.

## **Order**

Sri M. Ramakrishna, Khammam District has filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal dated: 25-05-2022 which was received by this Commission on 30-05-2022 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Child Development Project Officer, ICDS, Sathupally Division & Mandal, Khammam District and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority / O/o the Project Director, ICDS, Khammam District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 23-12-2021 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

భూమిని ఇచ్చి, వేంసులుకు పంపించి, ఇంకొకటి కేంద్రం గ్రామ పంచాయతీలో  
నడుపుతున్న 2 ఆంగ్లనాడి కేంద్రాల ద్వారా చాలా చాలా కాలం క్రితం  
గర్భిణీ ప్రోగ్రాం, చాలా కాలం క్రితం అందిస్తున్న ఆహార, ఆరుదాన్యాది  
ప్రతి రోజు అందించే మోతాదు మరియు హాల్డర్ల వారి యొక్క వివరాలు.  
ఆంగ్లనాడి కేంద్రాలకు పాఠ్యపుస్తక వివరాలు, హాల్డర్ల పట్టికల మొత్తం  
వివరాలు తెలిపే నివేదికలు, హాల్డర్ల పట్టికల ఆది - ఇది ఏమైనా  
సకల దస్త్రాలు 2011/01/2007 నాటి మీరు దస్త్రాలు తనిఖీ చేసు  
కొంటున్నావా? ఇచ్చే తేదీ మరియు మొత్తం దస్త్రాలు తనిఖీ చేసుకోవడాకు,  
అవసరం అయిన సకల దస్త్రాలు పొందడానికి నాకు నేరగా ఉన్న ఆంగ్లనాడి  
కేంద్రాల పట్టిక పంపించుకున్నాను సె. 2(2)1. ప్రకారం ఏదైనా మూడు  
తేదీలు, సమయం నాకు తగ్గినట్లు పేజీలన్నీ చిక్కునామాకు తనిఖీ  
చేయడానికి నాకు కోరుకుంటున్నాను సమాచారం సె. 2(2)1. సభ్యులు  
ఇవ్వాలి.

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated: 11-03-2022 before the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal, he preferred this 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 16-09-2022 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 16-09-2022. The appellant is present and filed his affidavit stating that the PIO has not furnished the information. The PIO / Smt. A. Kondamma, CDPO, ICDS, Sathupally, Khammam District is present and filed her affidavit stating that the appellant is repeatedly seeking the same or similar information frequently, hence the required information was not furnished.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record. The Commission took a serious view against the PIO for not furnishing the information to the complainant within the stipulated period of 30 days as per Section 7(1) of the RTI Act 2005. The Commission warns the PIO not to repeat such lapses in future and strictly adhere to the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

The Commission is of the view that the information sought is huge & voluminous and as per the orders issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Central Board of Secondary Education and Anr. Vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay and Ors in Civil Appeal No. 6454 OF 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) No: 7526/2009) wherein in it is stated that:

*“Indiscriminate and impractical demands or directions under RTI Act for disclosure of all and sundry information (unrelated to transparency and accountability in the functioning of the Public Authorities and eradication of corruption) would be counter productive as it will adversely affect the efficiency of the administration and result in the executive getting bogged down with the non-productive work of collecting and furnishing information. The Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to obstruct the national development and integration, or to destroy the peace, tranquility and harmony among its citizens. Nor should it be converted into a tool of oppression or intimidation of honest officials striving to do their duty. The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of the Public Authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing the information to the appellants instead of discharging their regular duties. The treat of penalties under the RTI Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of Public Authorities prioritizing ‘information furnishing’ at the cost of their normal duties.”*

Keeping in view of the above Supreme Court decision, The Commission directs the PIO to allow the appellant for verification of records on a mutually fixed date and time by giving a prior intimation to the appellant and furnish the required information (keeping in view of Section 8, 9, 10 & 11 of the RTI Act 2005) to the appellant as sought through Registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

**SYED KHALEELULLAH**  
**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

**Authenticated by:**

**Section Officer**  
**Copy to: SO / SF / OC**