

Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad - 500001.
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

Appeal No: 789/SIC-SK/2022

Date: - 25-08-2022

Appellant : Sri P. P. Ravi Kishore, Nirmal District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Tahsildar,
Nirmal Mandal, Nirmal District.

Public Information Officer
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Tahsildar,
Dilwarpur Mandal, Nirmal District

First Appellate Authority
(U/RTI Act, 2005)
O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Nirmal Division, Nirmal District.

Order

Sri P. P. Ravi Kishore, Nirmal District has filed 2nd appeal dated: 09-01-2022 which was received by this Commission on 17-01-2022 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Tahsildar, Nirmal Mandal, Nirmal District and 1st Appellate Authority / O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Nirmal Division, Nirmal District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 02-11-2021 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

I submit that - I am originally from old
Muzga of Nirmal Taluqa, Adilabad Dist
and now the old muzga is in the charge
of Mandal and it is informed by Revenue officers
of Mandal that the old Revenue
records of old Muzga, were not shifted
to the office of the Tahsildar as per
- records of Nirmal Taluqa. In this
case, I need certain information / documents
under RTI Act - not to be mislead
and more few points.

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1st appeal dated: 11-12-2021 before the 1st Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1st Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1st appeal, he preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2nd appeal was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 25-08-2022 at 12.00 P.M.

The case is called on 25-08-2022. The appellant is absent. The PIO / Sri J. Gangaiah, Deputy Tahsildar, Nirmal Mandal, Nirmal District is present and filed his affidavit stating that reply was given to the appellant vide letter No: B/1344/2021 dated: 16-12-2021 the appellant was informed that the subject matter does not pertain to Nirmal Urban Mandal and the records were handed over during bifurcation in the year 2016, hence the request could not be considered. The PIO filed copies of the letters before the Commission.

Heard the PIO and perused the material papers available on record. The appellant through his affidavit requested to arrange for verification of records in O/o the Tahsildar, Nirmal Urban Mandal, Nirmal District and O/o the Tahsildar, Dilwarpur Mandal, Nirmal District.

The Commission directs the PIO / O/o the Tahsildar, Nirmal Urban Mandal, Nirmal District and the PIO / O/o the Tahsildar, Dilwarpur Mandal, Nirmal District to allow the appellant for verification of records on a mutually fixed date and time by giving a prior intimation to the appellant and furnish the required information or give point wise reply to the appellant as sought through Registered post with acknowledgement due and to report compliance to the Commission.

With the above direction, the appeal is closed.

SYED KHALEELULLAH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Section Officer
Copy to: SO / SF / OC