

# Telangana State Information Commission

(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)  
D.No.5-4-399, Samachara Hakku Bhavan (Old ACB Building),  
Mojam-jahi-Market, Hyderabad – 500001.  
Phone: 24740155 Fax: 24740592

**Appeal No: 9509/SIC-SK/2021**

**Date: - 07-07-2022**

Appellant : Sri Regatte Venkat Reddy,  
Nalgonda District.

Respondents : Public Information Officer  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Tahsildar,  
Narketpally Mandal,  
Nalgonda District.

First Appellate Authority  
(U/RTI Act, 2005)  
O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Nalgonda Division, Nalgonda District.

## **Order**

Sri Regatte Venkat Reddy, Nalgonda District has filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal dated: 04-08-2021 which was received by this Commission on 07-08-2021 for not getting the information sought by him from the PIO / O/o the Tahsildar, Narketpally Mandal, Nalgonda District and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority / O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Nalgonda Division, Nalgonda District.

The brief facts of the case as per the appeal and other records received along with it are that the appellant herein filed an application dated: 31-05-2021 before the PIO requesting to furnish the information under Sec. 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, on the following points mentioned in his application:

**Request you to provide true, certified copies the following.**

- 1. Complete office-copy File of 138 bearing the number ROR/18/98 for the year 1998-99.**
- 2. Challan register maintained for the issuance of 13-B from 10<sup>th</sup> Dec 1998 to 20<sup>th</sup> Dec 1998.**
- 3. corresponding records maintained for the issuance of 13b for the duration 10<sup>th</sup> Dec 1998 to 20<sup>th</sup> Dec 1998.**

Stating that the appellant did not receive the information from the PIO, the appellant filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated: 22-06-2021 before the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority requesting him to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act.

Stating that the appellant did not get the information from the PIO / 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority even after 30 days of filing his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal, he preferred this 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before this Commission requesting to take action against the PIO and 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority for not furnishing information sought by him and also to arrange to furnish the information sought by him u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the above, the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal was taken on file and Notices issued to both the parties for hearing on 07-07-2022 at 10.30 A.M.

The case is called on 07-07-2022. The appellant is present and filed his affidavit stating that the PIO has not furnished the information. The PIO / Sri K. Murali Mohan, Deputy Tahsildar, Narketpally Mandal, Nalgonda District is present and filed his affidavit stating that reply was given to the appellant vide letter No: B/335/2021/1 dated: 15-06-2021 that the information sought is of 23 years old and is not traceable and requested to visit their office for inspection of available records and vide letter dated: 29-09-2021 the appellant was allowed for inspection of records and available information was furnished to the appellant. The PIO filed copy of the same before the Commission.

Heard both the parties and perused the material papers available on record. The appellant stated that the one document is not genuine and is a fake document.

The Commission refers to the Circular No: 1/18/2011-IR dated: 16-09-2011 issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions, Department of Personnel & Training, New Delhi which reads as follows:

*“stated that only such information can be supplied under the Act which is already exists and is held by the Public Authority or held under the control of the Public Authority. The PIO is not supposed to create information; or to interpret information; or to solve the problems raised by the appellants; or to furnish replies to hypothetical questions”.*

Keeping in view of the above, the appellant is advised to approach the appropriate forum for his redressal of his grievance, as it is mandatory on the part of the PIO to furnish the available and held information.

In view of the above, the appeal is closed.

**SYED KHALEELULLAH**  
**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

**Authenticated by:**

**Section Officer**  
**Copy to: SO / SF / OC**